



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CrI.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

Chinnavedi

...Petitioner/Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State rep by
Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Dharmapuri.
(In Crime No.22 of 2024)

...Respondent/Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of the BNS, 2023 praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.22 of 2024, on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Naveen Chandar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)



Crl.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner/accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.06.2024 for the offences under Sections 5(j)(ii) and 6 of the POCSO Act in Crime No.22 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the victim girl was subjected to sexual intercourse by the petitioner. Due to which, the victim girl became pregnant. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the victim girl never narrated about any act of intercourse in the complaint. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.06.2024; that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen; and that the petitioner is ready to furnish substantial sureties for his due release on bail. Hence, he prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police submitted that the victim girl was subjected to sexual intercourse by the petitioner; that subsequently, she became pregnant. He also submitted that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner, that the investigation was also completed, and the case was taken on file as a Special Sessions case. However, he strongly opposed granting bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by both sides, the completion of the investigation, that the charge sheet was also filed and the case is taken on file as a Special Sessions case, that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner, and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:



7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned *Sessions Judge, in Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Dharmapuri*, and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Dharmapuri, on every working day at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

19.09.2024

dk

To

- 1.The Special Court for Exclusive Trial of cases
under POCSO Act,
Dharmapuri.
- 2.The Superintendent of Prison,
Dharmapuri Prison.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Dharmapuri. .
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

dk

Crl.O.P.No.21182 of 2024

19.09.2024