



W.A No.338 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31.01.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

W.A No.338 of 2024
and C.M.P.No.2089 of 2024

Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board
Rep By its Chairman
No.5 Kamarajar Salai
Chepauk Chennai-05.

.. Appellant

-VS-

- 1.V.Anantharaman
- 2.Gowri Anantharaman
- 3.G.Venkataraman
- 4.Radhika Venkataraman
- 5.G.Srinivasan
- 6.Aruna Srinivasan
- 7.The District Collector
Thiruvallur District
Thiruvallur.
- 8.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Ambattur.
- 9.The Tahsildar
Maduravoyal, Maduravoyal Taluk
Chennai.
- 10.The Tahsildar
Poonamallee, Chennai.
- 11.Kandasami
- 12.Radha Kandasami



W.A No.338 of 2024

WEB COPY

13.M/s.Abirami Engineering Construction & Co.,
Rep.by Tmt.S.Abinaya
Partner-cum--Authorized Signatory
5/252, Teachers Colony, Mohanur Road
Namakkal.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order of the learned Single Judge dated 06.06.2022 passed in W.P.No.19401 of 2020.

For the Appellant : Ms.G.Thilakavathy
Senior Counsel
for Mr.S.Karthikeyan

For the Respondents : Mr.K.Krishnaswamy
-for RR 1 to RR6
Mr.C.Kathiravan
Special Government Pleader
-for RR 7 to 10

* * * * *

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

We have heard Ms.G.Thilakavathy, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant, Mr.K.Krishnaswamy, learned counsel for Respondents 1 to 6 and Mr.C.Kathiravan, learned Special Government Pleader for Respondents 7 to 10.



W.A No.338 of 2024

WEB COPY

2. Learned counsel for the respondents 1 to 6 submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners is dismissed. None of the directions in the operative part of the order concerns the present respondents 1 to 6.

3. Learned Senior Counsel submits that Civil Revision Petitions were filed by the present appellant and they are also dismissed. The Civil Revision Petitions were filed to dismiss the suits and/or strike off the complaints.

4. According to the learned counsel for the respondents 1 to 6, the suits filed by the present respondents 1 to 6 were already decreed in the year 2011 and no reference is made in the operative part of the order to the suits filed by the present respondents 1 to 6.

5. In light of the above, certainly the appellant would not have a cause to assail the judgment. The writ petition filed by the present respondents 1 to 6 was dismissed by the Court and the said order is assailed by respondents 1 to 6 by filing a separate appeal.



W.A No.338 of 2024

WEB COPY

In light of the above, the writ appeal stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(D.B.C., J.)

31.01.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
kst

To

- 1.The District Collector
Thiruvallur District
Thiruvallur.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Ambattur.
- 3.The Tahsildar
Maduravoyal, Maduravoyal Taluk
Chennai.
- 4.The Tahsildar
Poonamallee, Chennai.



WEB COPY



W.A No.338 of 2024

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

(kst)

WA No.338 of 2024

31.01.2024