



Crl.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

J.Dhanush

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs.

State rep by its:-

The Inspector of Police,
M-8, Sathangadu Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.319 of 2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.319 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Deepan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



CrI.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner/A3, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.08.2024 for the offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 109 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita, in Crime No.319 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner/A3 and other accused waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, and assaulted the defacto complainant with a knife. Due to this, the defacto complainant sustained grievous injuries and was admitted to the hospital. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.08.2024; and that the co-accused/A1 and A2 were also granted bail by this Court vide



Crl.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

its order dated 14.08.2024, in Crl.O.P.No.19592 of 2024. He further submitted that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen; that he is ready to furnish substantial sureties for his due release on bail; and therefore, he prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner has waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, and assaulted him with a knife. Due to this, the defacto complainant sustained grievous injuries and was admitted to the hospital. He further submitted that the injured has now been discharged from the hospital; that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by both sides, the nature of offence, the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that



CrI.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

the co-accused/A1 and A2 were granted bail by this Court, that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned *Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur*, and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation;

[b] the petitioner shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person



CrI.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

05.09.2024

dk

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate
Thiruvottiyur.

2.The Superintendent of Prison
Puzhal Central Jail
Puzhal.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

dk

3.The Inspector of Police,
M-8, Sathangadu Police Station,
Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

CrI.O.P.No.21189 of 2024



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21189 of 2024

05.09.2024