



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.01.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

Sangeetha

... Petitioner/Wife of Detenu

Vs.

1. Government of Tamilnadu, rep. by its
Secretary, Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Chengalpattu District Collector Office,
Chengalpattu District.

3. The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent Office,
Kanchipuram District.

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison Puzhal,
Chennai District.

5. The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
CB-CID Police Station,

Page 1 of 8



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

Kancheepuram District.

... Respondents

WEB COPY

Prayer : Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records in detention order passed in CPT.No. 46/2023 dated 17.07.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the body of petitioner husband Viji @ Vijayakumar M/35 years now confined in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai before this Honble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Padmanabhan

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by
Mr.C.Aravind

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **M.S.RAMESH, J.**)

The petitioner, wife of the detenu, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 17.07.2023 slapped on her husband, branding him as "Bootlegger" under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982.



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned

Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is a delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, admittedly, the detenu was arrested on 17.05.2023 and the detention order was passed on 17.07.2023. In a similar case, where there was a delay in passing the detention order on 18.06.2022 after the arrest of the detenu on 08.04.2022, this Court in HCP No.1388 of 2022 [*Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others, reported in 2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332*], held as follows:

“6.... As between 08.04.2022 and 18.06.2022, it is well over two months and given the facts and circumstances of the instant case, particularly ground and the adverse cases, we find that this live and proximate link between grounds and purpose of detention had in fact snapped.”

This Court, in the said order, drew inspiration from the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sushanta Kumar Banik v. State of Tripura*, reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813, though in that case, the Hon'ble



Supreme Court did not directly deal with the issue of delay in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu. The relevant observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court are extracted hereunder:-

“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each case.”

5. In yet another case i.e., in *Nagaraj v. State of Tamil Nadu*, reported in **(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428**, this Court held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention.

6. Therefore, though, we are of the view that in view of the unexplained delay in passing the order of detention after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order is liable to be quashed, we have also taken into



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

consideration the sensitivity of the case involved and the objections of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that there may be some law and order problem from the families of the victims in Chengalpattu District.

7. In this background, to secure the ends of justice, this Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed on the following conditions.

(i) The detention order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 17.07.2023 in CPT No.46/2023, is set aside.

(ii) The detenu viz., Viji @ Vijayakumar, S/o.Kuppuswamy, aged about 35 years, now confined in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith unless he is required in connection with any other case.

(iii) The detenu is directed to stay within the revenue district of Kancheepuram and report before the Inspector of Police, CBCID, Kancheepuram, No.6, Ellappanagar, First Street, Kancheepuram, daily at 10.30am, until further orders.

[M.S.R., J] [S.M., J]
22.01.2024

ars

Page 5 of 8



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ars

To

1. The Secretary,
Government of Tamilnadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Chengalpattu District Collector Office,
Chengalpattu District.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent Office, Kanchipuram District.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison Puzhal, Chennai District.
5. The Inspector of Police,
CB-CID Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1619 of 2023

22.01.2024