



Crl.O.P.No.19696 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.19696 of 2024

WEB C **P.DHANABAL,J.**

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 392, 397 and 506(2) of IPC, in Crime No.215 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.03.2024 at about 8.15 p.m., when the defacto complainant was chatting with his friends on the beach road, the accused who came in a bike, threatened the defacto complainant with knife and snatched his mobile phone. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for the grant of the anticipatory bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner along with two other accused, robbed the mobile phone of the defacto complainant at knife point. He further submitted that there are 7 previous cases against the petitioner and out of the 7 cases, in one case, a knife and Rs.50/- have been recovered.



Crl.O.P.No.19696 of 2024

Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of the B.N.S.

28.08.2024

ksa-2



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19696 of 2024

P.DHANABAL,J.

ksa-2

CrI.O.P.No.19696 of 2024

28.08.2024