



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.08.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

Venkatachalam

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Valavanthinadu Police Station,
Namakkal District.
(Crime No.76 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.76 of 2024, on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 21.07.2024, for the alleged offences punishable under Section 4(1)(C),
4(1-A) (ii) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.76 of 2024, on the
file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 580 litres of white liquor (fermented wash) in a black and blue barrel for sale to public. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submit that he is only the owner of the land. He further submit that the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 40 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would contend that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 580 litres of white liquor (fermented wash) in a black and blue barrel for sale to public. He further submitted that the petitioner has no previous case against him, and thereby, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence, period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner and considering the fact that the petitioner is only the owner of the land, and the petitioner has no previous case, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Senthamangalam, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or



Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

09.08.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Senthamangalam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Valavanthinadu Police Station,
Namakkal District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Salem.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

drl

Crl.O.P.No.19300 of 2024

09.08.2024