



WEB COPY



A.Nos.3943 to 3946 of 2024
in C.S.No.200 of 2006
and C.S.No.965 of 2008

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J

By these applications, C.Kishan Lal, who is the plaintiff in C.S.No.965 of 2008 and the defendant in C.S.No.200 of 2006 seeks to re-open the evidence and re-call the witness for recording evidence. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that evidence was closed solely on the ground that the defendants did not file the proof affidavit in advance. He also points out that the proof affidavits were ready on the date fixed for examination of the witness.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has no serious objections to these applications being allowed. By taking into account the facts and circumstances, reasonable cause is established for allowing these applications as prayed for. Hence, all these applications are allowed as prayed for. As a consequence, the parties are directed to



WEB COPY

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J

rna

agree upon and submit a common proposed schedule for recording evidence.

3. List the suit on 02.09.2024.

16.08.2024

rna

A.Nos.3943 to 3946 of 2024
in C.S.No.200 of 2006
and C.S.No.965 of 2008