



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.08.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

CrI.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

K.Ramesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
PE Wing, Tindivanam Police Station,
Tindivanam Taluk,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.702 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.702 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Thanjan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 23.06.2024, for the alleged offences punishable under Section 4(1-A),



Crl.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

4(1)(a) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.702 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in possession of five litres of illicit arrack. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case, since he has got some previous cases. He has not committed any offence as alleged in FIR. The petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 45 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would contend that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 5 litres of illicit arrack. He further submitted that the petitioner has 9 previous cases of similar in nature and thereby, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner and also considering that the petitioner has 9 previous cases, in all of the cases, he has been released on bail and considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Principal Sessions Judge, Villupuram and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ulundurpet, on everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CrI.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

08.08.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Principal Sessions Judge,
Villupuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
PE Wing, Tindivanam Police Station,
Tindivanam Taluk, Villupuram District.
- 3.The District Prison,
Villupuram.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

drl

Crl.O.P.No.19165 of 2024

08.08.2024