



WEB COPY



W.P.Nos.21897 & 21904 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.11.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

W.P Nos.21897 & 21904 of 2019

and

WMP.Nos.21114, 21116, 21123 & 21124 of 2019

1. A.K.Satakopan
2. S.R.Ramesh
WPs

...Petitioners in both

Versus

1. The Collector,
Kanchipuram District Collector Office,
Thaiyar Kulam, Kanchipuram 631 501.
2. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
No.119, Uthamar Gandhi Salai,
Thousand Lights West, Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 034.
3. The Executive Officer,
Sri Varadarajaswamy Devasthanam,
Kanchipuram - 631 501.
4. T.V.Parathasarthy

...Respondents in both WPs

Common Prayer: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records on the file of the first respondent leading to the impugned orders dated 18.05.2019 & 24.05.2019 in Na.Ka.11949/2019/M/1 and quash the same.



W.P.Nos.21897 & 21904 of 2019

For Petitioners : Mr.Mr.T.V.Ramanujan
Senior Advocate
for M/s.R.Ramya in both WPs
For R1 : Mr.G.Ameedious,
Government Advocate in both WPs
For R2 & R3 : Mr.R.Baranidharan
Standing Counsel in both WPs
For R4 : M/s.Hemasampath,
Senior Advocate
for M/s. R.Meenal in both WPs

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions are filed challenging the orders passed by the first respondent issuing certain directions relating to the conduct of sixth day function in the annual Brahmotsavam.

2. A reading of the impugned order would make it clear that certain directions were issued by the first respondent based on the peace committee meeting held for conduct of Brahmotsavam in the year 2019. The Bhramotsavam function is already over. Therefore, the correctness of the order passed by the first respondent need not be gone into by this Court at this stage. Even in the order passed by the first respondent on 18.05.2019 and 24.05.2019, it is clearly stated that each year sixth day function of the Brahmotsavam shall be conducted as per custom without causing any law and order problem, subject to orders passed from time to time.



W.P.Nos.21897 & 21904 of 2019

WEB COPY 3. In view of the above said facts, the correctness of the impugned order need not be gone into at this stage as the function is already over. It is also made clear that the sixth day Brahmotsavam function shall be conducted by following the custom and usage and any dispute thereof can only be decided by jurisdictional joint commissioner based on the application filed by the respective parties. Therefore, if any dispute arises regarding the manner in which the sixth day Brahmotsavam function is to be conducted, the petitioner, the fourth respondent or any other interested party are at liberty to approach the jurisdictional Joint Commissioner seeking necessary orders.

4. With these clarifications, these writ petitions are disposed of.
No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

12.11.2024

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order
av



WEB COPY



W.P.Nos.21897 & 21904 of 2019

S. SOUNTHAR, J.

av

To

1. The Collector,
Kanchipuram District Collector Office,
Thaiyar Kulam, Kanchipuram 631 501.
2. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
No.119, Uthamar Gandhi Salai,
Thousand Lights West, Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 034.
3. The Executive Officer,
Sri Varadarajaswamy Devasthanam,
Kanchipuram - 631 501.

W.P Nos.21897 & 21904 of 2019

12.11.2024