



W.P.No.22225 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.03.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SUNDAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SENTHILKUMAR

W.P.No.22225 of 2023

and

W.M.P.No.21611 of 2023

S.Velmurugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Registrar,
State Human Rights Commission,
143, P.S. Kumaraswamy Raja Salai,
Chennai – 600 028.

2.Dr.Manikandan,
Government Primary Health Centre,
Sayalkudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.Dr.Vignesh,
Government Primary Health Centre,
Parthibanur,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents



W.P.No.22225 of 2023

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records of the 1st respondent relating to its order in SHRC No.2175/22/14 of 2021 dated 12.09.2022 and quash the same.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.K.M.Ramesh Senior Counsel for Mr.J.Selvaraja
For R1	:	Mr.C.Jayaprakash
For R2	:	Mr.E.Manoharan
For R3	:	No appearance

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **S.S. SUNDAR, J.**)

This writ petition is filed challenging the order of the 1st respondent/State Human Rights Commission, dated 12.09.2022, directing the petitioner to pay a compensation of Rs.25,000/- each to the respondents 2 and 3, for the violation of human rights.



W.P.No.22225 of 2023

WEB COPY 2.Learned counsel appearing for the contesting respondents/complainants who have suffered human rights violation at the hands of the petitioner, had expressed the desire of the respondents to pardon, if the petitioner tenders his apology in person. Accordingly, the petitioner appears to have visited the complainants' place and asked for their apology. An affidavit is also filed by the petitioner to that effect. It is also stated by the petitioner in his affidavit that the Government have not so far deducted any amount from his salary towards compensation, pursuant to the recommendations of the 1st respondent Commission.

3.Having regard to the stand taken by the contesting respondents that they have accepted the apology tendered by the petitioner and also taking note of the fact that there is no question of deduction of any money since the compensation has been waived and that the petitioner's conduct has been condoned by the complainants, this Court is of the view that the writ petition can be allowed, as the issue has been amicably settled between the parties.



W.P.No.22225 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. In that view of the change of circumstances, this writ petition is allowed and the order of the 1st respondent/State Human Rights Commission dated 12.09.2022, is set aside by recording the events stated earlier. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(S.S.S.R., J.) (N.S., J.)
07.03.2024

mkn

Internet : Yes

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

The Registrar,
State Human Rights Commission,
143, P.S. Kumaraswamy Raja Salai,
Chennai – 600 028.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22225 of 2023

S.S. SUNDAR, J.
and
N. SENTHILKUMAR, J.

mkn

W.P.No.22225 of 2023

07.03.2024