



WEB COPY



WP.No.19402 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06.08.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP.No.19402 of 2024
WMP.Nos.21248 to 21249 of 2024

M/s.Shiv Shakti Impex, represented by its Proprietor
Kamlesh Kumar Mali, Chennai-1

Petitioner

Vs

The Deputy State Tax Officer-I, Park Town Assessment Circle
Chennai North Division, Vepery, Chennai-3

Respondent

Prayer:- This Writ Petition is filed, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of Writ of Certiorari to call for the records relating to the order dated 09.11.2023 in GSTIN:33AAFHK1212B1Z6/2017-18 passed by the Respondent and to quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ramesh Kumar Chopra

For Respondents : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, Government Advocate

ORDER

1. This Writ Petition is filed for issuance of Writ of Certiorari to call for the records relating to the order dated 09.11.2023 in GSTIN:33AAFHK 1212B1Z6/ 2017-18, passed by the Respondent and to quash the same.
2. The Petitioner Company, which is a Dealer in iron steel raw materials, was issued with a show cause notice, dated 31.07.2023, calling for objections through the GST Portal Tab "View Additional Notices and Orders". Thereafter, the impugned order came to be passed, demanding tax with



WP.No.19402 of 2024

WEB COPY

penalty and interest on the ground that the Petitioner did not send a reply to the show cause notice and also did not appear in person. Hence, contending that the impugned order was passed, without affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the Petitioner to establish their case, thereby violating the principles of natural justice, this Writ Petition has been filed.

3. This Court heard the learned counsel on either side, considered their submissions and also perused the materials placed on record.
4. The learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the impugned show cause notice was issued through the GST Portal Tab “View Additional Notices and Orders” and that the original of the same was not served physically on the Petitioner and hence, the Petitioner had no occasion to know about the issuance of the show cause notice and consequently, they could not file a reply and also could not appear for personal hearing, but however, the impugned order came to be passed, without affording an opportunity of filing a reply to the impugned show cause notice, including a personal hearing to the Petitioner, thereby violating the principles of natural justice. The learned counsel would further submit that the Petitioner would be able to establish their case if an opportunity is provided and that the Petitioner agrees to make a payment of 10% of the disputed tax demand in respect of the impugned assessment period.
5. The learned Government Advocate for the Respondents would submit that



WP.No.19402 of 2024

appropriate orders may be passed, by putting the Petitioner on terms.

WEB COPY

6. On considering the materials available on record and the submissions of the learned counsel on either side, it is seen that admittedly the impugned show cause notice was issued through the GST Portal Tab “View Additional Notices and Orders” and the original copy of the same was not served physically on the Petitioner. When the Respondent Authority intends to pass an assessment order, it should be done only after affording an opportunity of filing a reply to the show cause notice, including a personal hearing to the Assessee and thereafter, considering the reply of the Assessee, as provided under law, but in this case, the Respondent Authority failed to do so. Further, the Petitioner herein was not in a position to notice about the issuance of show cause notice, since it was issued through the GST Portal Tab “View Additional Notices and Orders” and the original of the same was not served physically to the Petitioner. Consequently, the Petitioner was not able to send a reply and also to appear for personal hearing. According to the Petitioner, the Petitioner would be able to establish their case if an opportunity is provided. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned order came to be passed, without affording opportunity of filing a reply to the show cause notice, including a personal hearing to the Petitioner to establish their case, thereby violating the principles of natural justice and hence, it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the Petitioner to establish



WP.No.19402 of 2024

their case, on merits and in accordance with law, however, by putting the
Petitioner on terms.

7. For the reasons stated above, the matter is remanded back to the Respondent
for consideration afresh, by setting aside the impugned order on

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Srcm

condition that the Petitioner shall pay 10% of the disputed tax demand, within
a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Within
two weeks thereafter, the Petitioner is permitted to submit a reply to the
impugned show cause notice, by enclosing all relevant documents. Upon
receipt of such reply and upon being satisfied that 10% of the disputed tax
demand was received, the Respondent is directed to provide a reasonable
opportunity to the Petitioner, including a personal hearing and consider the
reply along with the relevant documents to be submitted by the Petitioner and
thereafter, pass fresh orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a
period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the Petitioner's reply.

8. With the above directions and terms, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No
costs. Consequently, the connected MPs are closed.

06.08.2024

Index:Yes/No

Web:Yes/No

Speaking/Non Speaking

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WP.No.19402 of 2024

WEB COPY

Srcm

To

The Deputy State Tax Officer-I, Park Town Assessment Circle
Chennai North Division, Vepery, Chennai-3

WP.No.19402 of 2024