



W.P.No.20290 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.07.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.20290 of 2024
and
W.M.P.No.22213 of 2024

Thutupalli Ravindra

.... Petitioner

Vs

The General Manager,
The Bank of Baroda,
Zonal Office,
Baroda Pride, New No.41,
Luz Church Road,
Mylapore, Chennai – 600 018.

.... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the reocrds relating to the proceedings of the respondent order dated 10.08.2023 and consequent order dated 09.05.2024 quash the same and direct the respondent to permit the petitioner to resume his work in the respondent bank.

For Petitioner : M/s.Narmadha Sampath

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the respondent dated 10.08.2023 and also rejected the request



W.P.No.20290 of 2024

made by the petitioner for revocation of his suspension by an order dated 09.05.2024.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and perused the materials available on record.

3. The petitioner, while was working as Chief Manager in the respondent at Kolathur Branch, was arrested and remanded to judicial custody in pursuant to the registration of the FIR in Crime No.184 & 185 of 2023 for the offences under Sections 120B, 420, 465, 467, 468 & 471 of IPC on the file of the Inspector of Police, CCB, Bank Fraud Wing, Chennai, alleging that the petitioner conspired with the accused and sanctioned loans without properly verifying and scrutinizing the loan documents and thereby caused loss to the bank. It is also a sanctioned loan on the false, fabricated and forged documents. In fact, the petitioner also filed a petitions in CrI.M.P.No.4532 & 4553 of 2024 to quash the FIR and the same were dismissed.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that it is case of prolonged suspension and as such it is liable to be quashed.



W.P.No.20290 of 2024

5. A perusal of the records reveals that the petitioner committed very grave offence and investigation is still pending. The allegations as against the petitioner are that the petitioner disbursed loan on falsification and also on forged documents. Therefore, if the petitioner is reinstated into service, there is a possibility of tampering the documents. Therefore, the request made by the petitioner for revocation of suspension order was rightly rejected .

6. In view of the above, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the order passed by the respondent. Thus the writ petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

22.07.2024

Internet: Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Speaking/Non Speaking order
Lpp

To
The General Manager,
The Bank of Baroda,
Zonal Office,
Baroda Pride, New No.41,
Luz Church Road,
Mylapore, Chennai – 600 018.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.20290 of 2024

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN. J,

Lpp

W.P.No.20290 of 2024

22.07.2024