



Crl.O.P.No.15587 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.15587 of 2024
and Crl.MP.No.10110 of 2024

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 120(B), 420, 465, 468, 471, 477A and 34 of IPC, in Crime No.1 of 2024 on the file of respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that Renault Nissan Technology & Business Centre India Pvt. Ltd, alleges that their employees are conspired and colluded together with the vendors to falsify records fraudulently, including perpetrated various acts such as modification of the date of Rs.1,93,21,080/- that was misappropriated by the accused persons A1 to A10. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he was working as Senior Recruiter on contract basis, and the petitioner had discharged his official duties based on his superior's instructions and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would also submit that there is no specific overtact attributed against the petitioner and he is ready to comply with any condition imposed by this court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.15587 of 2024

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.

drl

4. Learned counsel for the intervenor submits that this petitioner was a manpower employee, deployed to assist the Talent Acquisition (Recruitment) Team as a recruiter. He had admitted that at the behest of the vendors, as per the instructions to others to change the source name from Direct to Vendor, for which he had resigned from services of Manpower Group Services India on 28.03.2023. Hence, he strongly objected for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would raised serious objection stating that this petitioner colluded with the first petitioner and changed the source Direct into Vendors name like Clowkon and Knov Technologies at share point tool for wrongful gain. He further submit that the investigation in this case is still pending.

6. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both the counsels and also on considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

drl

15.07.2024

Crl.O.P.No. 15587 of 2024