



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.08.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

W.P. No.24399 of 2014

1. N.V.Sambandan
2. G.Kamakshi
3. J.Suruli Jackayappan
4. P.S.Thiagarajan
5. D.Sowkathali
6. S.Sivaprakasam
7. D.Arugakeethy
8. S.Adhirajan
9. N.Krishnamoorthy
- 10.P.Pechimuthu
- 11.K.S.Devadoss
- 12.P.Rama Reddi
- 13.N.Paramasivam
- 14.V.Muthusamy



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

WEB COPY

15.A.Govindasami

16.T.A.Abdul Bashwer

17.P.Gunaseli

18.V.Sundararaj

19.A.Abdul Rahoof

20.K.Rajagoplan

21.R.Sebastian

22.E.Parthasarathy

23.P.Narayanan

24.K.N.Ramasamy

25.K.Ramasamy

26.G.Sivasankaran

27.S.A.Venkatraman

28.N.Manivelu

29.K.S.Anantha Narayanan

30.K.Meenakshisundaram

31.R.V.Parasuraman

32.P.S.Sethuraman

33.P.Karuppasamy



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

34.C.Maruthiah
WEB COPY

35.K.V.Perumal

36.A.Murugesan

37.M.Narayanasamy

38.M.Ratnam

39.R.Kailasam

40.K.Palanivel

41.C.Manickam

42.S.Ramadoss

43.D.Jayaraman

44.A.V.Muthuveeran

45.G.Thamizh Azhagan

46.S.P.Kallanai

47.S.David Thirumalai Raj

48.Therese

49.A.K.Thiruvarasu

50.Cruz

51.S.Antony Pandian

52.N.Veerasamy



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

53.K.Pandian
WEB COPY

54.R.Arunachalam

55.N.Venkatachalapathy

56.K.Shanmugam

57.K.Venkataraman

58.S.Venkateswaran

59.A.Jagadeesan

60.R.Subramanian

61.M.Chairmandurai

62.S.Singaram

63.Earnest Rajiah

64.M.K.Krishnamoorthy

65.K.Papparajammal

66.G.Krishnamoorthy

67.K.K.Marasamy

68.K.R.Palanisamy

69.V.S.Sugumaran

70.B.Hanumantha Rao

71.H.Venkataraman



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

72.E.Arumugam

WEB COPY

73.M.V.Sethumadhavan

74.S.Ganesamurthy

75.P.Venkatachalam

76.S.John

77.V.Singaram

78.N.Krishnan

79.M.Dharmaraj

80.M.Renganathan

81.T.Sivalingam

82.T.Diraviyam

83.D.Subramanian

84.S.Muthusamy

85.S.Seetharaman

86.J.A.M.Rajendran Samuel

87.K.Jothi Thiyagrajan

88.P.Rathnasabapathi

89.M.Muthunagarathinam

90.S.Kandasamy

... Petitioners



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

Vs

WEB COPY

1. State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to the
Government Finance (PGC) Department
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai-9.
2. The Principal Accountant General (A&E)
361, Anna Salai, Teynampet
Chennai-18.
3. The Commissioner of Treasuries and Accounts
Panagal Buildings, Saidapet,
Chennai-18.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Declaration declaring the clarification letter issued by the 1st Respondent in Letter No.61495 (Ms.)/ PGC/2013 Finance (PGC) Department dated 04.02.2014 and its allied Letter No.24056/ PGC/ 2014-1 Finance (PGC) Department dated 28.05.2014 as null and void and unenforceable in the eye of law further the 2nd Respondent has not raised any doubt from the 1st Respondent through the letter Pen 30/1-76/ 2013-14/ 96083 dated 23.10.2013 as stated by the 1st Respondent in the clarification letter dated 04.02.2014 and thereby direct the 1st Respondent to issue orders for the payment of arrears of Dearness Allowance for the difference of the pre-revised pension minus original pension from the date of retirement to till date as the the Dearness Allowance/pay is one of the many components, which go into the eventual determination of pension as specifically indicated by the Apex Court in paragraph 30 of its judgment dated 17.01.2013 and direct the 1st respondent to issue instructions to the 3rd respondent to revise the pension automatically as per



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

G.O.174 dated 21.04.1998 and G.O.235 dated 01.06.2009 as already ordered in paragraph 15 of G.O.363 dated 23.08.2013 as for the petitioners are concern and pay the consequential arrears within a stipulated period.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.R.Nixon

For Respondents : Ms.R.L.Karthika,
Government Advocate for R1

Mr.P.Mano Rajan,
Senior Counsel for Accountant General (R2)

ORDER

When the matter is taken up for consideration, it is represented by Mr.A.R.Nixon, learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents that similar batch of Writ Petitions were already dismissed by a learned Single Judge of this Court and the same was also confirmed in W.A.Nos.2712, 2713 and 1518 of 2021 by a common judgment dated 14.06.2024. A copy of the said judgment passed by the learned Division Bench is also placed before this Court, wherein the learned Division Bench observed as under:-

" The grievances of the Writ petitioners are that the said Government order has not been implemented properly. The learned counsel appearing for the appellants would vehemently contend that the benefits extended under G.O. Ms. No.363 Finance (PGC) department



dated 23.08.2013 is not proper and the authorities have not extended the benefits as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The calculations made by the Pay and Accounts Office and the respective Treasuries are not in accordance with the Government order passed to implement the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. We cannot re-adjudicate the issues already decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.. The issues are related to the retired employees, who retired from service in between 01.06.1988 and 31.12.1995. The Government Order in G.O. Ms. No.363 was issued in the year 2013 and thereafter, the revision of the pension was made pursuant to further pay Commissions also.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances, the order passed implementing the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India cannot be interfered with by this Court and more so, the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order has been implemented by the Government and if at all any error committed by the Treasury officers or Pay and Accounts Office in calculating the benefits, it is for the aggrieved individual pensioners to approach the Treasury officer or Pay & Accounts Officer concerned for necessary clarifications or to verify the correctness of the fixation made with reference to the Government Order issued in G.O. Ms. No.363 Finance (PGC) department dated 23.08.2013. Therefore, the relief as such sought for in the Writ petitions and Writ appeals cannot be granted by this Court and consequently all the Writ appeals are disposed of. It is needless to state that the fixation of pension or revision of pension made in accordance with the pay rules and Government orders in force, need not be reduced."



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

WEB COPY

2. In the light of the above, this Writ Petition stands disposed of in terms of the above order passed by the learned Division Bench of this Court. No costs.

30.08.2024

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order

Index : Yes/ No

shk

To

1. The Principal Secretary to the Government,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Finance (PGC) Department
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai-9.
2. The Principal Accountant General (A&E)
361, Anna Salai, Teynampet
Chennai-18.
3. The Commissioner of Treasuries and Accounts
Panagal Buildings, Saidapet,
Chennai-18.



WEB COPY



W.P. No.24399 of 2014

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

shk

W.P. No.24399 of 2014

30.08.2024