



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.3057 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.10.2024

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE R.KALAIMATHI**

**C.M.A.No.3057 of 2023
and
C.M.P.No.28870 of 2023**

Maria Amal Raja,
S/o.Joseph Marimuthu

..Appellant

Vs.

Subhashini @ Jesika
D/o.Late Nagaraj

..Respondent

Prayer:

Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 19 of Family Courts Act to set aside the fair and decretal order dated 09.12.2020 in I.A.No.3978 of 2018 in O.P.No.795 of 2017 passed by the III Additional Family Judge, Chennai.

For Appellant : Mr.P.Muthu Esakia

For Respondent : Mr.Suchit Anant Palande



C.M.A.No.3057 of 2023

J U D G M E N T

WEB COPY (The judgment of the Court was delivered by J.Nisha Banu,J and R.Kalaimathi,J.)

This Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed by the appellant/husband challenging fair and decreetal order dated 09.12.2020 in I.A.No.3978 of 2018 in O.P.No.795 of 2017 passed by the III Additional Family Judge, Chennai.

2. As per Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, an appeal against the interlocutory application will not lie and an appeal will lie only as against the judgment or order of the Family Court. Further, in the case of ***G.V.N.S.Siva Prasad vs. V.Jyostna Devi*** made in ***C.M.A.No.1018 of 2022 dated 27.03.2024***, the Division Bench of this Court, after referring to the judgment of ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K. Nepolian Socraties and other cases (Batch)*** reported in ***2024:MHC:1405*** (Neutral Citation of Madras High Court) and ***2024 Live Law (Mad) 126*** held that as against the interlocutory applications/ interim maintenance, only Civil Revision Petition under 227 of the Constitution of India, would lie and not Civil Miscellaneous Appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission of this Court



C.M.A.No.3057 of 2023

to withdraw this appeal. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the case bundle.

4. In the light of the aforesaid judgment and in view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the appellant, this Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file Civil Revision Petition. On filing of the CRP, for the purpose of limitation, the period spent in prosecuting the CMA shall be excluded.

5. If the learned counsel for the appellant requests for return of certified copy of the impugned order, the same shall be returned to him forthwith under due acknowledgement. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
vsi

(J.N.B,J.) (R.K.M., J.)
17.10.2024

To
The III Additional Family Judge, Chennai.



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.3057 of 2023

J. NISHA BANU, J.
and
R.KALAIMATHI, J.

vsi

C.M.A.No.3057 of 2023

17.10.2024