



W.P.No.18547 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:31.07.2024

Coram:

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE G. JAYACHANDRAN

Writ Petition No.18547 of 2024

Mrs.Nagavalli

.. Petitioner

/versus/

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep.by Home Secretary,
Home Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Cuddalore District,
Gundu Salai Road,
Alpet, Manjakuppam,
Cuddalore 607 001.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District 607 001.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Puduchatram Police Station,
Chidambaram Taluk,
Cuddalore District.



W.P.No.18547 of 2024

WEB COPY

5.The District Revenue Officer,
Manjakuppam, Cuddalore 607 001.

6.The Tahsildar, Cuddalore Taluk,
Silver Beach Road,
Anna Nagar, Cuddalore 607 001.

7.Murugan

8.Sakthi

9.Elumalai

10.Arul

11.Gunabalan

12.Thulasi @ Ramadev

.. Respondents

Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the 1st to 6th respondents to take action against the 7th to 12th respondents, who are conducting Katapanchayat (Kangaroo Court) in Annappanpettai Village, Andarmullipalayam, Cuddalore.

For Petitioners :Mr.G.Mohana Krishnan

For R1 to R6 :Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R9 to R12 :Mr.N.Damodaran



W.P.No.18547 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner herein, who has a pathway dispute between the private respondents has filed this writ petition alleging that the private respondents are conducting Kattapanchayat in the village and they has prevented her relatives from participating in her son's marriage held on 11.02.2024. It is also alleged that they are forcing her to compromise the complaint given by her son on 04.06.2023 which was registered in C.S.R.No.294 of 2023.

2. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioner has an habit of giving complaint alleging excommunication on one pretext or another and the investigation conducted clearly indicates that the respondents, who are the other villagers infact afraid of the attitude of the petitioner and staying away from her.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is put to great hardship due to the attitude of the private respondents, even though she belongs to the same community.



W.P.No.18547 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. This Court on perusing the complaint and the nature of the allegation as well as the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) regarding the investigation conducted in this matter is of the view that the property dispute between the petitioner and the respondents 7 to 12 has led to give a complaint to the authorities as if she has been excommunicated from the village. The village of the petitioner is a small hamlet, predominantly inhabitate by fisherman. The allegation of physical assault and restrain from using the private pathway has led to the exaggerate complaint. The respondent police on investigation has found that no such act of excommunication done against this petitioner.


5. This Court is of the view that the writ petition filed with falsehood and exaggerated averments deserves to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

31.07.2024

Index:yes/no

Neutral Citation:yes/no



1.The Home Secretary, State of Tamil Nadu, Home Department, Fort St.Fort George, Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Police, Cuddalore District 607 001.

5. The District Revenue Officer, Manjakuppam, Cuddalore 607 001.

7. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.18547 of 2024

DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.

ari

W.P.No.18547 of 2024

31.07.2024