



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.07.2024

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH**  
**AND**  
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

**H.C.P.No.1474 of 2024**

Kuruvi @ Gurusakthi

... Petitioner/ the detenu

***Vs.***

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,  
State of Tamil Nadu,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Office of the District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Thiruvarur District,  
Thiruvarur.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Tiruchirappalli Central Prison,  
Thiruchirappalli District.

4.The Superintendent of Police,  
Office of the Superintendent of Police,  
Thiruvarur District.



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

5.The Inspector of Police,  
Kudavasal Police Station,  
Kudavasal,  
Thiruvavarur District.

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records, connected with the detention order of the second respondent in detention order C.O.C.No.05/2024 dated 01.04.2024 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Kuruvi @ Gurusakthi, son of Ganesan aged about 39 years, now confined as 'Goonda' at Tiruchirappalli Central Prison before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Prakash

For Respondents : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

**M.S.RAMESH, J.**  
**AND**  
**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

The petitioner herein is the detenu viz., Kuruvi @ Gurusakthi, son of Ganesan aged about 39 years, confined at Tiruchirappalli Central Prison, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

passed by the second respondent dated 01.04.2024 slapped on him, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 24.02.2024 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 01.04.2024. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. In the case of '**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura**', reported in '**2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813**', when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

*“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts*



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

WEB COPY

*and circumstances of each case.”*

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***', reported in '***(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428***', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 01.04.2024 in C.O.C.No.05/2024, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Kuruvi @ Gurusakthi, son of Ganesan aged about 39 years, confined at Tiruchirappalli Central Prison, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J]

[S.M., J]

30.07.2024

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

**Anu**

***Note :- Registry shall forthwith return the booklet containing the materials, on which, the Detaining Authority has placed reliance, to the petitioner/counsel for the petitioner with due acknowledgment.***



HCP.No.1474 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,  
State of Tamil Nadu,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Office of the District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Thiruvarur District,  
Thiruvarur.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,  
Tiruchirappalli Central Prison,  
Thiruchirappalli District.

4. The Superintendent of Police,  
Office of the Superintendent of Police,  
Thiruvarur District.

5. The Inspector of Police,  
Kudavasal Police Station,  
Kudavasal,  
Thiruvarur District.

6. The Joint Secretary,  
Law and Order Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai

7. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



*HCP.No.1474 of 2024*

**M.S.RAMESH, J.**  
**and**  
**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**  
*Anu*

H.C.P.No.1474 of 2024

30.07.2024