



W.P.No.16617 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.06.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P.No.16617 of 2024

&

WMP No.18222 of 2024

Tvl. Little Star Recreation Club,  
rep. By its Secretary C.Chelladurai  
Regd. No.229/2017,  
77, Raja Mill Road,  
Pollachi, Coimbatore District.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Tax Officer,  
Pollachi (West) Assessment Circle  
Pollachi-642 001.

2. Joint Commissioner (State Tax), Intelligence  
Integrated CT Building,  
Dr.Balasundaram Chettiar Road,  
Coimbatore-641 018.

...Respondents

**Prayer :** A Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling

Page No: 1/8



*W.P.No.16617 of 2024*

for the records pertaining to the impugned proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent in TIN.No.33116503646/2022-23 along with the Notice of Final Annual Assessment and Demand (Form-O) in TIN. No.33116503646 (AY:2022-23) dated 29.01.2024 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sricharan Rangarajan  
Senior Advocate for  
Mr.C.Jeganathan

For Respondents : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala  
Government Advocate

### **ORDER**

In this writ petition, an order dated 29.01.2024 in respect of assessment year 2023-24 is challenged.

2. The petitioner is a Club registered as a Society under the Tamil Nadu Societies Registration Act, 1975. The Club possesses a FL-II licence for supply of liquor to its members and their guests in accordance with the rules of the Club. Upon receipt of

*Page No: 2/8*



W.P.No.16617 of 2024

WEB COPY

show cause notice dated 28.11.2023, the petitioner submitted a reply dated 20.12.2023. In such reply, the petitioner asserted that the supply of liquor by the Club to its members and their guests is not liable to tax. In support of this submission, the petitioner relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of West Bengal v. Kolkata Club* (2019) 19 SCC 107. The impugned order was issued in these facts and circumstances on 29.01.2024.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner referred to the petitioner's reply and pointed out that the petitioner expressly relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Without taking such submissions into consideration, he contends that the tax proposal was confirmed.

4. Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice for the respondents. She submits that the the order

Page No: 3/8



W.P.No.16617 of 2024

WEB COPY

of the Hon'ble Supreme Court would come to the aid of the petitioner only if the liquor is supplied to members of the Club and not to customers in general.

5. On examining the impugned order, it is evident that the petitioner's reply was referred to. Upon referring to such reply, the following conclusions were recorded:

*"A notice was issued to the dealers in the reference 5<sup>th</sup> cited. The reply was furnished by the dealer on 22.12.2023 was not accepted. Again a personal hearing issued to them in the reference 6<sup>th</sup> cited and an opportunity of being heard was also offered. In spite of this opportunity the dealer have utilized and produced already submitted documents.*

*In this regard, carefully examined their connected documents. But their reply not accepted and not correct. Hence, it is proposed to recover the Tax @ 14.5% on the actual*

Page No: 4/8



WEB COPY



W.P.No.16617 of 2024

*sales turnover of alcoholic liquors for Rs.10,25,47,838/- during the year 2022-23 as per the second schedule of TNVAT Act 2006 along with interest Under section 42(3) of the TNVAT Act 2006."*

The above extract discloses that the reply was referred to but the contentions in the reply were not dealt with. Instead, it is recorded in the impugned order that the reply was not accepted. It is thus clear that the impugned order is completely unreasoned and consequently unsustainable.

6. For reasons set out above, the impugned order dated 29.01.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded for reconsideration. After providing a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner, including a personal hearing, the respondent is directed to issue a fresh order within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In view of the assessment order

Page No: 5/8



*W.P.No.16617 of 2024*

WEB COPY

being set aside, the bank attachment is raised and it is made clear that no recovery or other proceedings may be initiated.

7. W.P.No.16617 of 2024 is disposed of on the above terms without any order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

**25.06.2024**

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

kal

*Page No: 6/8*



*W.P.No.16617 of 2024*

WEB COPY

**To**

1. The State Tax Officer,  
Pollachi (West) Assessment Circle  
Pollachi-642 001.
2. Joint Commissioner (State Tax), Intelligence  
Integrated CT Building,  
Dr.Balasundaram Chettiar Road,  
Coimbatore-641 018.



WEB COPY



*W.P.No.16617 of 2024*

**SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J**

kal

W.P.No.16617 of 2024  
&  
WMP No.18222 of 2024

25.06.2024

*Page No: 8/8*