



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.08.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1334 of 2024

Sammantham Pazhamalai

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu,
Represented by Secretary to Government,
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and Magistrate,
Cuddalore,
Cuddalore District.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Cuddalore Prison,
Cuddalore District.

4.The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore, Cuddalore District.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Annamalai Nagar Police Station,
Annamalai Nagar.

... Respondents



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records relating to the detention order dated 17.04.2024 passed by the second respondent in the proceedings in No.C3/D.O./31/2024 in respect of TPDA No.4184 and to quash the same, consequently direct the respondents herein to produce the my son namely Thiru Periyavan @ Sathishkumar son of Sammantham Pazhamalai aged about 28 years residing at Thidalveli Street, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Cuddalore – 608 002, who is presently undergoing detention in Central Prison, Cuddalore, as “GOONDA” under Section 3(1) of the Tamilnadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamilnadu Act 14 of 1982) before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Kasirajan
For Respondents	: Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan, Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

The petitioner herein, who is the father of the detenu Periyavan @ Sathishkumar, aged about 28 years, S/o.Sambantham, has come forward



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

WEB COPY

with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 16.04.2024 slapped on his son, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 19.01.2024 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 16.04.2024. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

5. In the case of '*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura*',



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

reported in '**2022 LiveLaw (SC) 1334**', when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each case.”



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***', reported in '***(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428***', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 16.04.2024 in C3/D.O./31/2024, is hereby set aside and



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

WEB COPY

the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu Periyavan @ Sathishkumar, aged about 28 years, S/o.Sambantham, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J]

[S.M., J]

01.08.2024

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Tsg


Note:-Registry shall forthwith return the booklet containing the materials, on which, the Detaining Authority has placed reliance, to the petitioner/counsel for the petitioner with due acknowledgment.

To

1.The State of Tamilnadu,
Represented by Secretary to Government,
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and Magistrate,
Cuddalore,
Cuddalore District.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,



6. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

M.S.RAMESH, J.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1334 of 2024

and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Tsg

H.C.P.No.1334 of 2024

01.08.2024