



W.P.No.13556 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 28/10/2024

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Writ Petition No.13556 of 2024

a n d

W.M.P.No.14713 of 2024

Juveria Nazneen

...

Petitioner

Vs

1. Union of India
rep. By its Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs
New Delhi 110 001.
2. IDFC First Bank
rep. By its Bank Manager
Perambur Branch
Ground Floor No.24 SRP Koil Street
Agaram Junction, Perambur
Chennai 600 082.
3. The Inspector-cum-Station House Officer
Poojappura Police Station
Poojappura Main Road
Thiruvananthapuram
Kerala 695 012.
4. Women Police Constable (WPC)
Rajula
Gujarat 365 560.

...

Respondents



W.P.No.13556 of 2024

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to de freeze the petitioner's Bank Account No.10169855235 maintained in the second respondent Bank within the time frame fixed by this Court.

For petitioner	...	M/s.A.Leeban
For respondents	...	Mr.K.Ramamoorthy, CGSC for R.1
		Mr.S.K.Mariyappan for R.2
		No appearance for R.R.3 and 4

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the respondents to de freeze the petitioner's Bank Account No.10169855235 maintained in the second respondent Bank.

2. The facts that are necessary for the disposal of the writ petition are as follows:-



W.P.No.13556 of 2024

WEB COPY

The petitioner had obtained educational loan from the second respondent Bank and the same was credited to the petitioner's bank account. To his shock and surprise, the petitioner's entire bank account was freezed. However, the disputed amount is Rs.4,400/-, as per the complaint from the first respondent, however, the respondents have blocked the entire account which has Rs.14,23,812/-. Hence, the petitioner has filed this writ petition to de-freeze the bank account.

3. Heard M/s.A.Leeban, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.K.Ramamoorthy, Central Government Standing Counsel for the first respondent and Mr.S.K.Mariyappan, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent. There is no appearance for the third and fourth respondents.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the transaction was not done by the petitioner and this would itself being enough to show that the petitioner has never been a part of that transaction.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13556 of 2024

M.DHANDAPANI,J

mvs.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent submitted that except the disputed transferred amount, petitioner's account was defreezed and the petitioner is entitled to operate the account.

6. Recording the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent, this writ petition is closed. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

28/10/2024

mvs.

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Writ Petition No.13556 of 2024