



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.07.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1072 of 2024

Ansari

... Petitioner/Aunty of the detenue

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by
The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Vepery,
Chennai-600 007.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai-600 066.

4.The Inspector of Police,
H-8, Thiruvottriur Police Station,
Chennai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records pertaining to the order of detention passed in No.170/BCDFGISSSV/2024 dated on 08.03.2024 passed by the second respondent and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's nephew by name Yuvaraj, son of M.C.Nagaraj, aged about 23 years before this Court, now confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Thirukannan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

The petitioner herein, who is the aunt of the detenu namely Yuvaraj, son of M.C.Nagaraj, aged about 23 years, confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 08.03.2024 slapped on her husband, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act,



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the Government Order in G.O.(D).No.11, Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department dated 10.01.2024 has not been translated in vernacular language. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on the sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On perusal of the documents available on record, particularly in Page Nos.229 and 231 in Volume - I of the booklet, a copy of the Government Order in G.O.(D).No.11, Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department dated 10.01.2024 is available and the translated copy in vernacular version of the same has not been furnished to the detenue. Therefore, the detenue is deprived from making effective representation



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

and that the Detention Order passed by the Detaining Authority is vitiated.

WEB COPY

5. In this context, it is useful to refer to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in '**Powanammal Vs. State of Tamil Nadu**' reported in '**(1999) 2 SCC 413**'. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, after discussing the safeguards embodied in Article 22[5] of the Constitution, observed that the detenu should be afforded an opportunity of making representation effectively against the Detention Order and that, the failure to supply every material in the language which can be understood by the detenu, is imperative. In the said context, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in Paragraphs 9 and 16 {as in SCC journal} as follows:

“9.However, this Court has maintained a distinction between a document which has been relied upon by the detaining authority in the grounds of detention and a document which finds a mere reference in the grounds of detention. Whereas the non-supply of a copy of the document relied upon in the grounds of detention has been held to be fatal to continued detention, the detenu need not show that any prejudice is caused to him. This is because the non-supply of such a document would amount to



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

denial of the right of being communicated the grounds and of being afforded the opportunity of making an effective representation against the order. But it would not be so where the document merely finds a reference in the order of detention or among the grounds thereof. In such a case, the detenu's complaint of non-supply of document has to be supported by prejudice caused to him in making an effective representation. What applies to a document would equally apply to furnishing a translated copy of the document in the language known to and understood by the detenu, should the document be in a different language.

..... **16.***For the above reasons, in our view, the non-supply of the Tamil version of the English document, on the facts and in the circumstances, renders her continued detention illegal. We, therefore, direct that the detenue be set free forthwith unless she is required to be detained in any other case. The appeal is accordingly allowed.”*

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

order is liable to be quashed.

WEB COPY

7. Hence, for the aforesaid reasons, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 08.03.2024 in BCDFGISSSV No.170/2024, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Yuvaraj, son of M.C.Nagaraj, aged about 23 years, now confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J]

[S.M., J]

05.07.2024

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Anu



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

WEB COPY To

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Vepery,
Chennai-600 007.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai-600 066.

4.The Inspector of Police,
H-8, Thiruvottriyur Police Station,
Chennai District.

5.The Joint Secretary,
Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai

6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1072 of 2024

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Anu

H.C.P.No.1072 of 2024

05.07.2024