



Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.07.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

Murugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. P.V.Srinivasan

2. K.Santhi, I.A.S.,
The District Collector,
Dharmapuri District,
Dharmapuri.

3. Arumugam,
The Tahsildar,
Palacode Taluk,
Palacode,
Dharmapuri.

4. Senthil Kumar,
The Assistant Director,
The District Land Survey Department,
Dharmapuri -5.

... Respondents



Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

Prayer:

Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondent for wilful disobedience of the order dated 29.11.2023 passed in W.P.No.33315 of 2023.

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Munusamy

For R2 to R4 : Mr.A.Selvendran
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner has filed the contempt petition, to punish the respondents for disobeying the order of this Court dated 29.11.2023 passed in W.P.No.33315 of 2023.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is the sixth respondent in W.P.No.33315 of 2023. While disposing the said writ petition, this Court directed the respondents 1 to 3 therein/respondents 2 to 4 herein, to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.09.2023, after issuing notice to the petitioner therein, the sixth respondent therein who is the present contempt petitioner and other adjacent land owners and interested parties/rival



Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

claimants if any as the case may be and pass the order. The main contention of the petitioner is that without issuing notice to the petitioner/sixth respondent in W.P.No.33315 of 2023, the official respondents have passed the order. Hence the petitioner/sixth respondent in W.P.No.33315 of 2023 has filed the present contempt petition.

3. It is informed by the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 2 to 4 that the order of this Court dated 29.11.2023 passed in W.P.No.33315 of 2023 has been complied with and he has also filed the copy of the proceedings passed by the third respondent with regard to the petitioners' representation.

4. On a perusal of the order passed by the third respondent, it is seen that there is an appellate remedy is available before the appellate authority. If the contempt petitioner is aggrieved with the order passed by the third respondent herein, he can file an appeal before the appellate authority.



Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. With the above observation and direction, this Contempt Petition is closed. The petitioner is at liberty to work out his remedy in the manner known to law.

18.07.2024

Index : Yes/ No
Speaking/Non-Speaking Order
mfa



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

P.VELMURUGAN., J

mfa

Cont.P.No.1486 of 2024

18.07.2024