



Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31.01.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

1. The Director General
Head Quarters Office
Employees State Insurance Corporation
(ESIC) Panchadeep Dhawan Kotla Road
New Delhi – 110 002.

2. The Medical Superintendent
ESIC Hospital, K.K.Nagar
Chennai – 600 078.

.. Review Applicants

Vs.

1. R.Dhakshinamoorthy
2. P.Murugan
3. A.Samidurai
4. S.Sankar
5. G.Rajavel
6. D.Sekar
7. Union of India
Rep. by its Secretary
Ministry of Health, Niranman Bhawan
New Delhi.



Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

8. Union of India
Rep. by its Secretary
Ministry of Labour and Employment
Shram Sakthi Bhavan
New Delhi – 110 001.

9. Central Administrative Tribunal
City Civil Court Additional Building
1st and 2nd Floor, High Court Campus
Chennai – 600 104.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule I r/w Section 114 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking review of the order dated 21.11.2022 in W.P.No.30160 of 2022.

For the Review Applicants : Mr.K.M.Venugopal

For the Respondents : Mr.K.Balasubramanian
for R4 to R6

ORDER

(Made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard Mr.K.M.Venugopal, learned counsel for the review applicants and Mr.K.Balasubramanian, learned counsel for respondents 4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the review applicants submits that the review applicants are prosecuting the present review application only to the extent of costs.



Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

WEB COPY

3. Upon perusal of the order passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, it is abundantly clear that the review applicants were aware of the various orders passed and the learned counsel for the review applicants, before the Central Administrative Tribunal, also accepted about the similar orders passed in other matters and still, the order of the Tribunal was challenged before this Court. The Court was justified in imposing cost of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only).

4. In the light of that, no cause for review is made out. The review application, accordingly, stands dismissed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(D.B.C., J.)

31.01.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

drm



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024



Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

1. The Secretary
Union of India
Ministry of Health, Niraman Bhawan
New Delhi.
2. The Secretary
Union of India
Ministry of Labour and Employment
Shram Sakthi Bhavan
New Delhi – 110 001.
3. Central Administrative Tribunal
City Civil Court Additional Building
1st and 2nd Floor, High Court Campus
Chennai – 600 104.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

(drm)

Rev.Aplw.No.28 of 2024

31.01.2024