



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1610 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.06.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.R.MAHADEVAN, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

Cont.P.No.1610 of 2024 &
Sub Application Nos.495 and 496 of 2024

- 1.B.Murugan
- 2.V.Kannan
- 3.S.Seetharaman
- 4.M.Elumalai
- 5.M.Tiruochalinhame
- 6.K.Meena
- 7.V.Sundaramoorthy
- 8.R.Marie Roland
- 9.P.Nagarajan
- 10.K.Meganathan
- 11.T.Karthigeyan
- 12.S.Malarmozhi
- 13.T.Kadiresan
- 14.M.Pushpanathan
- 15.R.Venkatesan
- 16.P.Raja
- 17.D.Karunakaran
- 18.S.Kalidoss
- 19.K.Tirumurugan
- 20.D.Perambalam
- 21.B.Nagarajan
- 22.D.Katchammalle
- 23.S.Sabarinathan
- 24.A.Elumalai

.. Petitioners



Cont.P.No.1610 of 2024

Vs.

1.Dr.Sharat Chauhan, IAS,
The Chief Secretary,
Central Secretariat,
Puducherry.

2.Mr.S.Sathiyamoorthy,
The Managing Director,
Puducherry Distilleries Limited,
Ariyapalayam,
Villianur - 605 110.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondents for contempt of Court for willful disobedience and deliberate non compliance of the order dated 29.01.2024 in W.A.No.2231 of 2023.

For the Petitioners : Mr.Prakash Adiapadam

For the Respondents : Mr.S.Ravee Kumar
Government Pleader (Pondicherry)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by
the Hon'ble Acting Chief Justice)

This contempt petition is filed for the alleged disobedience of the order dated 29.01.2024 in W.A. No. 2231 of 2023, in and by which, this court directed the petitioners to make representations to engage them as casual labourers, and further directed the respondents to consider the said representations within a period of six weeks.



Cont.P.No.1610 of 2024

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials placed before this court.

WEB COPY

3. It appears that the second respondent, by order dated 20.01.2024, citing various reasons, rejected the representations of the petitioners. It is also brought to the notice of this court that similarly placed persons have already filed W.P.No.10599 of 2024 challenging the said rejection order passed by the second respondent. Therefore, this court is inclined to close this contempt petition, which has been agreed upon by the learned counsel appearing on either side.

4. Accordingly, this contempt petition stands closed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to take such legal recourse, if so advised. Consequently, connected sub applications are also closed.

(R.M.D., ACJ.) (M.S.Q., J.)
14.06.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
r n s



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1610 of 2024

THE HON'BLE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ,J.

r n s

Cont.P.No.1610 of 2024 &
Sub Application Nos.495 and 496 of 2024

14.06.2024