



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.03.2024

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR**

and

**THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE K.GOVINDARAJAN**

**THILAKAVADI**

C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

and

C.M.P.No.5762 of 2018

in

C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

S.Thirumurugan  
S/o.Shanmugam Achari

... Appellant

Vs.

1.T.Savitha  
W/o.Thirumurugan

2.Shalini (Minor)  
D/o.Thirumurugan  
Rep.by her mother and natural guardian  
the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent

.. Respondents

Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, praying to set aside the order dated 22.02.2018 passed in I.A.No.99 of 2017 in H.M.O.P.No.4273 of 2015 on the file of the VI

Page Nos.1/5



C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

Additional Family Court, Chennai.

For Appellant : Mr.P.P.Shanmugasundaram

For Respondents : Mr.M.Kamalakaran

## **J U D G M E N T**

**[Judgment of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]**

Captioned 'Civil Miscellaneous Appeal' [hereinafter 'CMA' for the sake of brevity] has been filed assailing an 'order of *pendente lite* maintenance/interim maintenance order' [hereinafter 'impugned order' for the sake of brevity, convenience and clarity] made under Section 24 of 'The Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 [25 of 1955]' {hereinafter 'HM Act' for the sake of brevity}.

2. This Court vide a detailed common judgment/order dated 21.03.2024 in ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K.Nepolian Socraties and other cases {Batch}*** reported in **2024:MHC:1405** {*Neutral Citation of Madras High Court*} and **2024 Live Law (Mad) 126** *inter alia* held that appeals against *pendente lite* maintenance/interim maintenance orders under Section 24 of



C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

HM Act are not maintainable but a revision under Article 227 of the Constitution of India would lie and preserved the rights of the appellants for preferring revision while giving closure to such CMAs.

3. In the aforementioned scenario, without dilating further on maintainability, suffice to say that captioned CMA will also be governed by ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K.Nepolian Socraties and other cases {Batch}*** reported in **2024:MHC:1405** and **2024 Live Law (Mad) 126** i.e., there would be closure and preservation of rights as in ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K.Nepolian Socraties and other cases {Batch}*** reported in **2024:MHC:1405** and **2024 Live Law (Mad) 126**.

4. Ergo, the sequitur is captioned CMA is disposed of as not maintainable/closed albeit with preservation of rights in the aforementioned manner i.e., as in ***Menaka*** Principle.

5. As a further sequitur/consequence, captioned CMP is also disposed of as closed.

Page Nos.3/5



C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

WEB COPY

6. Though obvious, it is made clear that in this order, this Court has not expressed any view or opinion on merits qua captioned CMA.

7. If learned counsel on record for appellant in the captioned CMA requests for return of certified copy qua impugned order, the same shall be returned forthwith to learned counsel on record for the appellant under due acknowledgment.

8. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(K.G.T.,J.)

27.03.2024

Index : Yes / No

Speaking / Non-speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

mk

**P.S. I:** Upload forthwith

**P.S.II :** All concerned including the Registry of Madras High Court to act forthwith on the uploaded soft copy of this proceedings as uploaded in the official website of this Court. To be noted, the soft copies uploaded in the official website of this Court are water marked, besides being QR Coded.

To

1. VI Additional Principal Judge,  
VI Additional Family Court, Chennai.
2. The Section Officer  
V.R. Section, High Court, Madras.

Page Nos.4/5



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

3.

The Section Officer  
E.R. Section, High Court, Madras.

**M.SUNDAR, J.,**  
**and**  
**K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.,**

*mk*

C.M.A.No.637 of 2018

27.03.2024