



Crl.A.No.449 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.07.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **R. HEMALATHA**

Crl.A.No.449 of 2013

Gnanam Tex,
Rep. by its Proprietor,
Mr.K.Gnana Sekaran,
18, R.R.Fairlands,
Kondalampatty Bye Pass,
Salem - 636 010.

... Appellant/Complainant

Vs.

1. Infomark Marketing Pvt. Ltd.,
Rep. by its Director V.K.Menon,
having their office at
Plot No.243, 243A, 3rd Phase,
Peenya Industrial Area,
Bangalore - 560 058,
India.

2. V.K.Menon ... Respondent/Accused
Prayer : Criminal Appeal filed under Section 378 of Criminal Procedure
Code, against the Judgment and orders, dated 20.12.2011 passed in
S.T.C.No.516/2009 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Salem.

For Appellant : Mr.P.Saravanasowmiyan

For Respondents : Mrs.D.Sathya for

Mrs.Zeenath Begum

JUDGMENT



Crl.A.No.449 of 2013

WEB COPY

Challenging the order of acquittal passed in S.T.C.No.516/2009, dated 20.12.2011 by the Judicial Magistrate No.III, Salem, the present Criminal Appeal is filed by the revision petitioner/complainant.

2. Mr.P.Saravanasowmiyan, learned counsel for the appellant contended that the 2nd Respondent / 2nd accused is no more and that the 1st Respondent is a company which is represented by the 2nd respondent. He further contended that there is no one to represent the first accused company and therefore he does not want to pursue the matter. He also made an endorsement in this regard.

3. In view of the submissions and endorsement made by the learned counsel for the appellant, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

12.07.2024

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
vum

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Salem.

Page 2 of 4



Crl.A.No.449 of 2013

2. The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

R.HEMALATHA, J.

vum



WEB COPY



Crl.A.No.449 of 2013

Crl.A.No.449 of 2013

12.07.2024