



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.08.2024

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G. ARUL MURUGAN**

**W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019
and
CMP.Nos.13174 & 13054 of 2019**

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary
Animal Husbandry dairying & Fisheries Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
- 2.The Director of Animal Husbandry and
Veterinary Services,
Amma Complex, Nandanam,
Chennai-600 035.
- 3.The Regional Joint Director,
Animal Husbandry Department,
Thiruvarur District, Thiruvarur.
- 4.The Assistant Director,
Animal Husbandry Department
Thiruvarur District,
Thiruvarur.

.. Appellants in both WAs

VS

V.Ganesan

R1 in WA.No.1944 of 2019

S.Nainappan

R1 in WA.No.1916 of 2019



W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019

The Accountant General of Tamil Nadu,
Office of the Accountant General,
Anna Salai, Teynampet,
Chennai-18.

.. R2 in both WAs

Prayer in WA.No.1944 of 2019: Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to allow the above Writ Appeal and to set aside the order made in Writ Petition No.8859 of 2018 dated 12.04.2018 passed by this Court.

Prayer in WA.No.1916 of 2019: Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to allow the above Writ Appeal and to set aside the order made in Writ Petition No.8860 of 2018 dated 12.04.2018 passed by this Court.

(In both WAs)

For Appellants : Mr.T.Chandrasekaran,
Special Government Pleader

For Respondents : No Appearance

COMMON JUDGMENT

(Order of the Court was made by Mr.ARUL MURUGAN.,J)

These appeals have been preferred against order dated 12.04.2018 passed in WP.Nos.8859 & 8860 of 2018, wherein the writ Court had directed the respondents to consider 50% of services rendered by the writ petitioners on temporary basis for considering the pensionary benefits. Assailing the same, the State has preferred the above writ appeals.



W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019

2.The writ petitioner / R1 in W.A.No.1944 of 2019 had been originally appointed on 30.08.1989 on temporary services / part time in the Animal Husbandry Department, wherein he had been continuing into service till 13.08.2012 and thereafter his services came to be regularised only from 13.08.2012 to 31.12.2013 and therefore, the writ petitioner preferred the writ petition claiming benefits to count those periods by taking 50% of service towards the pensionary benefits.

3.The writ petitioner / R1 in W.A.No.1916 of 2019 had been originally appointed on 30.08.1989 on temporary services / part time in the Animal Husbandry Department, wherein he had been continuing into service till 13.08.2012 and thereafter his services came to be regularised only from 13.08.2012 to 30.11.2015 and therefore, the writ petitioner preferred the writ petition claiming benefits to count those periods by taking 50% of service towards the pensionary benefits.

4.Learned single Judge allowed the writ petitions taking note of the fact that the cut off date fixed by amendment to Rule 11(4) of the Tamil Nadu Pension Rules, 1978 was declared inconsequential by the Division Bench.

5.Be that as it may, as far as the present position is concerned, since there were different views on this issue, the matter was referred to a



W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019

Full Bench for an authoritative pronouncement and the Hon'ble Full Bench in the case of the *State of Tamil Nadu v. R.Kaliyamoorthy*, reported in [2020 (2) MLJ 369] has held that the services put in by the employees either on temporary or adhoc basis and having been regularized after 01.04.2003 cannot be counted for the purpose of qualifying service for pension. Further, the Full Bench was also of the view that the cut off date fixed as 01.04.2003 is valid. Paragraph 45 of the judgment reads thus:-

45. In the light of the above, we answer the reference as follows:-

(i) Those who are freshly appointed on or after 01.04.2003 are not entitled to pension in view of proviso to Rule 2 of Tamil Nadu Pension Rules, 1978 inserted by G.O. Ms. No. 259 dated 06.08.2003

(ii) Those government servants/employees appointed prior to 01.04.2003 whether on temporary or permanent basis in terms of Rule 10 (a) (i) of Tamil Nadu State and Subordinate Service Rules will be entitled to get pension as per the Tamil Nadu Pension Rules, 1978.

(iii) In case, a government employee/servant had also rendered service in non-provincialised service, or on consolidated pay or on honorarium or daily wage basis and if such services were regularised before 01.04.2003, half of such service rendered shall be counted for the purpose of conferment of pensionary benefits.

(iv) Those government servants who were appointed in the aforesaid four categories before the cut off date and later appointed under Rule 10 (a) (i)



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019

of Tamil Nadu State and Subordinate Service Rules before 01.04.2003 and absorbed into regular service after 01.04.2003 will not be entitled to count half of their past service for the purpose of determination of qualifying service for pension.

(v) Those government servants who were appointed in the aforesaid four categories before 01.04.2003 but were absorbed in regular service after 01.04.2003 will not be entitled to count half of their past service for the purpose of determination of qualifying service for pension."

6. Admittedly in the instant case, as the writ petitioners have been working in temporary services and have been regularised only on 01.01.2006, that is after the cut off date 01.04.2003, fixed by the Full Bench, the order of the learned single Judge directing the respondents to count 50% of the service for pensionary benefits cannot be sustained. Accordingly, the order of the writ petitions are set aside and the writ appeals stand allowed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

[A.S.M., J] [G.A.M., J]
20.08.2024

Index: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order
vs

To
The Accountant General of Tamil Nadu,
Office of the Accountant General,
Anna Salai, Teynampet,
Chennai-18.



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019

DR. ANITA SUMANTH,J.
and
G. ARUL MURUGAN.,J

VS

W.A.Nos.1944 & 1916 of 2019 and
CMP.Nos.13174 & 13054 of 2019

20.08.2024