



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9869 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.04.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

W.P.No.9869 of 2024
and W.M.P.Nos.10884 & 10886 of 2024

N.Suresh

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Member Secretary
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board
Old Commissioner of Police Campus
Pantheon Road, Egmore, Chennai – 8.

2. The Director General of Police
O/o. The Director General of Police
Chennai – 4

3. The Superintendent of Police
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board
Egmore, Chennai – 8.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the order of the 3rd respondent made in Na.Ka.No.R4/130/0937/2024 dated 18.03.2024 to quash the same and consequently direct the respondents 1 to



W.P.No.9869 of 2024

3 to select and appoint the petitioner in the post of Sub-Inspector of Police with all service benefits accrued thereto and pass such further or other order.

For the petitioner : Mr.L.Chandra Kumar
For the respondents : Mr.P.Kumaresan, AAG
assisted by
Mr.D.Sowmi Dathan, Standing Counsel
for RR1 & 3
Mr.C.Jayaprakash, GA for R2

ORDER

The petitioner challenges the order of the 3rd respondent dated 18.03.2024 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to select and appoint the petitioner in the post of Sub-Inspector of Police with all service benefits.

2. The petitioner participated in the selection process to fill up the post of Sub-Inspector of Police in the year 2023. After the results were published, the same were challenged before this Court and this Court found that three key answers in respect of three questions were wrong and directed that three marks should be granted to the selectees and accordingly directed to re-publish the results. However, the said benefit was not granted to the petitioner and therefore,



W.P.No.9869 of 2024

the present Writ Petition.

3. A counter affidavit is filed. Apart from contesting the matter on the other grounds, paragraph No.11 states the following:-

“It is submitted that the petitioner herein Tr.N.Suresh having secured lesser marks in the written test was not eligible for Viva Voce in the ratio of 1:2 under his respective category like Thiru.R.Prabakaran and C.Murugesan. The revision of marks based on new expert committee opinion for 3 questions was also carried out for this petitioner also. The details of revised marks for Tr.N.Suresh, Thiru.R.Prabakaran and C.Murugeswaran three petitioners are given in the table below:-

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Petitioner</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Old Marks</i>	<i>New Marks</i>	<i>Mark of Last selected candidate in the ratio of 1 : 2</i>
1.	N.Suresh	BC	70.50	70.50	71.00
2.	C.Murugeswaran	MBC / DNC	70.50	70.50	71.00
3.	R.Prabakaran	SC	68.00	68.50	69.50

4. From the above, it would be clear that even if the said three marks are granted, the petitioner does not come within the zone of consideration. In view thereof, this Court cannot come to the aid of the petitioner and no further relief can be granted to the petitioner.



W.P.No.9869 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. Finding no grounds to grant any relief to the petitioner, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

16.04.2024

Jer

Index: Yes

Speaking order

Neutral citation : No

To

1. The Member Secretary
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board
Old Commissioner of Police Campus
Pantheon Road, Egmore, Chennai – 8.

2. The Director General of Police
O/o. The Director General of Police
Chennai – 4

3. The Superintendent of Police
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board
Egmore, Chennai – 8.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9869 of 2024

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.,
Jer

W.P.No.9869 of 2024

16.04.2024

Page 5 of 6