



WP.No.9802 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.04.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP.No.9802 of 2024

Madhani

.. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Inspector General of Registration
Santhome High Road,
Mylapore, Chennai - 4

2. The Sub Registrar,
Sub-Registrar Office
Karimangalam
Dharmapuri District

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the Refusal Check Slip in RFL/Karimangalam/63/2024 dated 28.03.2024 and quash the same and consequentially direct the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed and return the same to the petitioner after registration.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Selvam

For Respondents : Mr.Yogesh Kannadasan
Special Government Pleader



WP.No.9802 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petition is filed to quash the Refusal Check Slip in Refusal Number : RFL/Karimangalam/63/2024 dated 28.03.2024 and consequently direct the second respondent to register the same.

2. It is the grievance of the writ petitioner that when the settlement deed was presented for registration, the second respondent refused to register the same on the ground that as per the circular of the first respondent, the originals were not produced.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.

4. I have perused entire records. This Court in **Federal Bank Vs. Sub Registrar and two others** in **W.P.No.2759 of 2023 dated 08.02.2023**, has held as follows :

“22. Similarly, the second proviso requires the executant to produce a revenue record to show his “right over the subject property” where the property is ancestral in character and there is no original deed available. Even a tax receipt can be produced under this proviso which is opposed to the fundamental principle of law that revenue records are not



WEB COPY

documents of title [*State of A.P. v Star Bone Mill and Fertilizer Company*, 2013 9 SCC 319]. Production of revenue documents to verify the source of title only demonstrates complete ignorance of the settled position of law.

23. Similarly, the third proviso also defies logic. If the original is lost, it is not understood as to why a certified copy of that document obtained from the file of the concerned SRO cannot be produced. When the best evidence is not available, the best course is to produce a certified copy which is the next best available alternative. Instead, the third proviso requires the executant to obtain a non-traceable certificate and effect paper publication.

24. It is also well settled by the decision of the Supreme Court in *J.K. Industries Ltd. v. Union of India*, (2007) 13 SCC 673 that a subordinate legislation may be struck down as arbitrary or contrary to statute if it fails to take into account vital facts which expressly or by necessary implication are required to be taken into account by the statute or the Constitution. Furthermore, Rule 55-A is a delegated legislation which cannot go beyond the scope of the Parent Act viz., the Registration Act as well the Transfer of Property Act which is the substantive law governing the transfer of immovable properties. Hence, the first proviso is clearly ultra vires and unconstitutional.”

5. Considering the above and also of the fact the circular cannot override the statutory right and substantive provisions of law, the respondent cannot refuse the registration of the document citing non production of original. They can very well verify the certificate available with them.



WP.No.9802 of 2024

6. Such view of the matter, the refusal check slip issued by the second respondent is set aside. The second respondent is directed to register the settlement deed dated 28.03.2024 without insisting the production of originals within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order.

7. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

12.04.2024

dhk

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No



WEB COPY



WP.No.9802 of 2024

To,

1. The Inspector General of Registration
Santhome High Road,
Mylapore, Chennai - 4
2. The Sub Registrar,
Sub-Registrar Office
Karimangalam
Dharmapuri District



WEB COPY



WP.No.9802 of 2024

N. SATHISH KUMAR, J.

dhk

W.P.No.9802 of 2024

12.04.2024