



WEB COPY



HCP.No.796 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.06.2024

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH**  
**AND**  
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

H.C.P.No.796 of 2024

Govindammal

... Petitioner

*Vs.*

1.The Secretary to Government,  
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat, Fort St.George,  
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Tirupattur,  
Tirupattur District.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirupattur,  
Tirupattur District.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Vellore,  
Tirupattur District.

5.State rep. by its The Inspector of Police,  
Tirupathur Taluk Police Station,  
Tirupattur District.

... Respondents



HCP.No.796 of 2024

**PRAYER:** Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the entire records, relating to the petitioner husband under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order, dated 07-02-2024 on the file of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent herein made in proceedings in C3/D.O.No.06/2024 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner husband namely P.Govindhan, Son of Perumal, aged 49 years before this Hon'ble High Court and set the petitioner at liberty from detention, now detained at Central Prison, Vellore.

|                 |                                                        |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner  | : Mr.M.Premkumar                                       |
| For Respondents | : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan,<br>Additional Public Prosecutor |

### **ORDER**

**M.S.RAMESH, J.**  
**AND**  
**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

The petitioner herein, who is the wife of the detenu Govindan, aged about 49 years, S/o.Perumal, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 07.02.2024 slapped on her husband, branding him as "BOOTLEGGER"



HCP.No.796 of 2024

WEB COPY

under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 15.12.2023 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 07.02.2024. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

5. In the case of '*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura*', reported in '*2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813*', when there was an inordinate



HCP.No.796 of 2024

delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

*“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each case.”*



HCP.No.796 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***', reported in '***(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428***', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 07.02.2024 in C3/D.O.No.06/2024, is hereby set aside and



HCP.No.796 of 2024

WEB COPY

the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu Govindan, aged about 49 years, S/o.Perumal, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J]

[S.M., J]

**21.06.2024**

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Tsg

To

1.The Secretary to Government,  
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat, Fort St.George,  
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Tirupattur,  
Tirupattur District.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirupattur,  
Tirupattur District.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Vellore,  
Tirupattur District.



*HCP.No.796 of 2024*

WEB COPY

5.State rep. by its The Inspector of Police,  
Tirupathur Taluk Police Station,  
Tirupattur District.

6.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



*HCP.No.796 of 2024*

**M.S.RAMESH, J.**  
**and**  
**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

Tsg

H.C.P.No.796 of 2024

21.06.2024