



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.1687 of 2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.10.2024

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE R.KALAIMATHI**

**C.M.A.No.1687 of 2021
and
C.M.P.No.8942 of 2021
and
C.M.P.No.5195 of 2022**

Nathan Francis Anand Kumar
S/o F.S. Nathan

..Appellant

Vs.

1. Angeline Selvarani Francis,
W/o Nathan Francis

2. Allen,
S/o Nathan Francis Anand Kumar

..Respondent

Prayer:

Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 19 of Family Courts
Act against the order and decree in I.A.No.475 of 2012 in O.P.No.3751
of 2010 dated 25.03.2031 passed by the learned V Additional Family
Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.1687 of 2021

For Appellant : Mr.L.Rajasekar

For Respondent : Mr.J.T.Raja Surya

J U D G M E N T

(The order of the Court was made by Mrs.J.Nisha Banu,J.)

This Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed by the appellant/husband challenging the order and decree in I.A.No.475 of 2012 in O.P.No.3751 of 2010 dated 25.03.2031 passed by the learned V Additional Family Court, Chennai.

2. As per Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, an appeal against the interlocutory application will not lie and an appeal will lie only as against the judgment or order of the Family Court. Further, in the case of ***G.V.N.S.Siva Prasad vs. V.Jyostna Devi*** made in ***C.M.A.No.1018 of 2022 dated 27.03.2024***, the Division Bench of this Court, after referring to the judgment of ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K. Nepolian Socraties and other cases (Batch)*** reported in ***2024:MHC:1405*** (Neutral Citation of Madras High Court) and ***2024 Live Law (Mad) 126*** held that as against the interlocutory applications/ interim maintenance, only Civil Revision Petition under 227 of the Constitution of India, would lie and not Civil



C.M.A.No.1687 of 2021

Miscellaneous Appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this appeal. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the case bundle.

4. In the light of the aforesaid judgment and in view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the appellant, this Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file Civil Revision Petition. On filing of the CRP, for the purpose of limitation, the period spent in prosecuting the CMA shall be excluded.

5. If the learned counsel for the appellant requests for return of certified copy of the impugned order, the same shall be returned to him forthwith under due acknowledgement. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
vsi

(J.N.B,J.) (R.K.M., J.)
04.10.2024

J. NISHA BANU, J.
and
R.KALAIMATHI, J.



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.1687 of 2021

vSi

To

V Additional Family Court,
Chennai.

C.M.A.No.1687 of 2021

04.10.2024