



WEB COPY



W.P.No.40150 of 2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.12.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY**

W.P.No.40150 of 2006

S.SIVAPARAMAN

... Petitioner

Vs

1. THE ASSISTANT EXE. ENGINEER,
TOWN PLANNING, CORPORATION OF CHENNAI,
ZONE 10, LATICE BRIDGE ROAD,
ADYAR, CHENNAI 20
2. THE COMMISSONER,
CORPORATION OF CHENNAI,
RIPON BUILDINGS, CHENNAI-3
3. THE CITY ENGINEER
TOWN PLANNING,
CORPORATION OF CHENNAI,
RIPON BUILDINGS, CHENNAI-3

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider and dispose of the petitioners application received by the respondents under PPA No.SD/WDC10/00440/2006 dated 25.09.2006 for sub division and planning permission of his property situated at 7th cross street, 7th main Road and 10th



W.P.No.40150 of 2006

Cross Street, A.G.S. Colony, Vinayagapuram, Chennai 42 comprised in
T.S.No.59 Block No.164 of Velachery village.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For R1 : Labour Court

For R2 : Mrs.P.T.Ramadevi
Standing Counsel for Chennai Corporation

ORDER

This matter has been adjourned on several occasions, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner workman.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent even after verification submits that inspite of her best efforts, nothing could be traced out from the respondent corporation.

3. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, print out of the particulars from e-courts website is also placed before this Court. From the same, the array of parties and the prayer is clear. Therefore, with the help of the same, Registry is directed to reconstruct the copy of the writ petition alone.

4. In view of the repeated listing of this case, even though the writ



W.P.No.40150 of 2006

petition is of the year 2006, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. It can be seen that this matter was listed under the caption for 'final hearing' in the year 2020 and on 05.03.2020, it seems that the matter was dismissed for default and on 12.03.2020, the said order was revoked and the matter is treated as pending.

6. In view of the same, since the workman has not appeared even before this Court for the past two hearing, this writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution. No costs.

21.12.2024

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
nsl

To

1. THE ASSISTANT EXE. ENGINEER,
TOWN PLANNING, CORPORATION OF CHENNAI,
ZONE 10, LATICE BRIDGE ROAD,
ADYAR, CHENNAI 20
2. THE COMMISSONER,
CORPORATION OF CHENNAI,
RIPON BUILDINGS, CHENNAI-3
3. THE CITY ENGINEER
TOWN PLANNING,
CORPORATION OF CHENNAI,
RIPON BUILDINGS, CHENNAI-3

3/6



WEB COPY



W.P.No.40150 of 2006

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

nsi

W.P.No.40150 of 2006

21.12.2024

W.P.No.40150 of 2006



W.P.No.40150 of 2006

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

WEB COPY

This matter is listed under the caption “for being mentioned”.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 brought to the notice of this Court that there is an error in the appearance portion.

3. Accordingly, the appearance portion shall be corrected as follows:

“For Petitioner : No appearance

For R1 to R3 : Mrs.P.T.Ramadevi

Standing Counsel for Chennai Corporation”

4. The Registry is directed to issue a fresh order copy by carrying out the said correction in appearance portion.

20.01.2025

ns1

5/6



WEB COPY



W.P.No.40150 of 2006

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY.J.,

nsI

W.P.No.40150 of 2006

20.01.2025