



W.P.No.8755 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.04.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

W.P.No.8755 of 2024

Mr. Karthick Raja

...Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Represented by
The commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Rippon Building, Chennai – 600 003.

2. The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by., The Zonal Commissioner
Division – 127, Zone -10,
Chennai Corporation,
Kodambakkam, Chennai – 600024.

3. The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by., The Revenue Officer
Division – 127, Zone – 10,
Chennai Corporatio,
Kodambakka, Chennai- 600 024.

4. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by., The Assistant Revenue Officer
Division – 127, Zone 10,
Chennai Corporation, Kodambakkam, Chennai – 600 024



W.P.No.8755 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by The License Inspector,
Division – 127, Zone – 10,
Chennai Corporation,
Kodambakkam, Chennai 600 024.

6. Mr. Arunachalam

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents Nos. 1 to 4 to consider the representation dated 27.01.2024 consequently direct the respondents No.1 to 5 to take action against the 6th respondent unlicensed shop in the manner known to law and pass orders.

For Petitioner : Mr.N. Thamzhanban
For Respondents 1 to 5 : Mrs.P.T. Ramadevi
Standing counsel

ORDER

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 27.01.2024.



W.P.No.8755 of 2024

WEB COPY

3. The case of the petitioner is that the sixth respondent is operating a flour mill at No.17/61, Vengatesh Nagar, 2nd Street, Virugambakkam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600 092 in the name of “Sri Ayyanar Flour Mill”. The grievance of the petitioner is that due to the operation of the said mill the residents of the said area are greatly affected as there was a noise pollution, hygiene issues etc.,. Hence the petitioner gave a representation to the respondents 1 to 4 to consider their case and pass favourable orders. However, the same was not considered. Hence this petition.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it would be suffice if this Court issues a direction to the respondents 1 to 4 to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders.

5. The learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 4 submits that the representation of the petitioner will be considered on merits and in accordance with law.



W.P.No.8755 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts of the case, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case directs the respondents 1 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass orders within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order. This writ petition is disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

02.04.2024

Index:Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

smn

To

1. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation,Rippon Building, Chennai – 600 003.

2. The Zonal commissioner
Division – 127, Zone -10,Chennai Corporation,
Kodambakkam, Chennai – 600024.

3. The Revenue Officer
Division – 127, Zone – 10,
Chennai Corporation,Kodambakkam, Chennai- 600 024.

4. The Assistant Revenue Officer
Division – 127, Zone 10,
Chennai Corporation, Kodambakkam, Chennai – 600 024

5. The License Inspector,
Division – 127, Zone – 10,Chennai Corporation,
Kodambakkam, Chennai 600 024.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8755 of 2024

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN,J.

smn

W.P.No.8755 of 2024

02.04.2024