IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **22.03.2024**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY**

W.P.No.7582 of 2024
and W.M.P.Nos.8499 & 8504 of 2024

Sri.A.Nazeer ... Petitioner

-vs-

The Deputy State Tax Officer,
(Inspection),
Hosur. ...
Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari, call for the records of the respondent in his proceeding PAN No. ADLPA1833H and quash the impugned proceeding dated 05.01.2024 passed therein.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Raveendran

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, AGP (T)

****

ORDER

By this writ petition, the petitioner assails the intimation issued under the Tamil Nadu Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 in relation to the imposition of GST under the reverse charge mechanism on the seigniorage paid by the petitioner to the Government.

2. Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. He has placed on record the recent judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in a batch of writ petitions, *A.Venkatachalam v. Assistant Commissioner (ST), Palladam II Assessment Circle, Palladam, W.P.Nos.30974 of 2022 batch*. In the said judgment, the following directions were issued at paragraph 9 thereof:

"*9. In these circumstances, we deem it fit and appropriate to issue the following directions:*

(i) In the cases, where the challenge is made to the show cause notices, the writ petitioners shall submit their objections / representations within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this

order.

(ii) Upon receipt of the objections / representations from the writ petitioners, the authority concerned shall proceed with the adjudication, on merits and in accordance with law, after affording reasonable opportunity of being heard to the petitioners. However, the orders of adjudication shall be kept in abeyance until the Nine Judge Constitution Bench decides the issue as to the nature of royalty.

(iii) It is made clear that there shall be no recovery of GST on royalty until the Nine Judge Constitution Bench takes a decision.

(iv) Needless to state that on the matters being decided, the writ petitioners if still aggrieved, shall redress their grievance(s), if any, before the appropriate forum, including by filing appeal(s).

(v) Insofar as the challenge to the notification as well as the circular, it is open to the writ petitioners to act upon, after the outcome of the case pending before the Nine Judge Constitution Bench.

(vi) It is also made clear that all the contentions are left open for the writ petitioners to raise in appropriate proceedings, after the outcome of

the decision of the Nine Judge Constitution Bench."

3. In view of the above judgment, this writ petition is liable to be disposed of on the same terms. Accordingly, W.P.No.7582 of 2024 is disposed of in terms of directions issued in paragraph 9 of *A.Venkatachalam v. Assistant Commissioner*. No costs. Consequently, W.M.P.Nos.8499 and 8504 of 2024 are closed.

22.03.2024

rna
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Neutral Citation: Yes / No

To

The Deputy State Tax Officer,
(Inspection),
Hosur.

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J

rna

W.P.No.7582 of 2024
and W.M.P.Nos.8499 & 8504 of 2024

22.03.2024