



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7817 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.03.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P.No.7817 of 2024
and W.M.P.Nos.8762 & 8765 of 2024

M/s.Vel Steel Tubes and Engineering Pvt. Ltd.
187/1A-5A, Rep. by its Director D.Subbiah,
Thiruinravur to Periyapalayam Road,
Pakkam Village, Tiruvallur
Tamil Nadu 602 024.

... Petitioner

-vs-

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Thirumazhisali Assessment Circle,
4/109, 2nd Floor,
Bangalore - Chennai Highway
Varadarajapuram, Nazhrarthpet
Chennai 600 123.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records culminating into Order dated 09.10.2023 bearing GSTIN 33AACCV8374M1ZS/2019-20 and quash the same.



W.P.No.7817 of 2024

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Mohan, Sr. Adv.
for Mr.Adithya Reddy

For Respondent : Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran, GA (T)

ORDER

An assessment order dated 09.10.2023 is challenged on the ground that personal hearing was not offered after receipt of the petitioner's reply. In respect of assessment year 2019-20, the petitioner received an intimation in Form GST DRC-01A on 22.12.2022. Such intimation was replied to by the petitioner on 20.06.2023. After issuing a show cause notice on 17.04.2023, the impugned assessment order was issued on 09.10.2023.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner relied on sub-



W.P.No.7817 of 2024

section (4) of Section 75 of the Tamil Nadu Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 and contended that a personal hearing is mandatory either if requested for or if an order adverse to the taxpayer is proposed to be issued. By pointing out that no personal hearing was offered subsequent to the petitioner's reply on 20.06.2023, he contends that the assessment order is vitiated for failure to comply with such mandatory requirement.

3. Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice for the respondent. By referring to the impugned assessment order, he points out that the petitioner was provided multiple opportunities to contest the tax demand in the form of intimation, show cause notice and the personal hearing opportunities provided even after the issuance of the show cause notice.

4. The documents on record include the petitioner's reply on 20.06.2023 and a subsequent e-mail of 23.08.2023 along with the



W.P.No.7817 of 2024

attachments thereto.. Such reply was referred to in the impugned order and treated as a reply to the show cause notice. Although the petitioner is not blameless in as much as much as the petitioner did not reply to the intimation within a reasonable time or reply to the show cause notice, in view of breach of the mandatory requirement of sub-section (4) of Section 75, the impugned order calls for interference.

5. For reasons set out above, the impugned order dated 09.10.2023 is quashed and the matter is remanded for re-consideration. The petitioner is permitted to submit any documents in support of the reply within a maximum period of *two weeks* from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the assessing officer is directed to provide a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner, including a personal hearing, and thereafter issue a fresh assessment order within *two months* from the date or receipt of the petitioner's reply.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7817 of 2024

6. W.P.No.7817 of 2024 is disposed of on the above terms. No costs. Consequently, W.M.P.Nos.8762 and 8765 of 2024 are closed.

25.03.2024
(1/3)

rna
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Neutral Citation: Yes / No

To

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Thirumazhisali Assessment Circle,
4/109, 2nd Floor,
Bangalore - Chennai Highway
Varadarajapuram, Nazhrarthpet
Chennai 600 123.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7817 of 2024

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J

rna

W.P.No.7817 of 2024
and W.M.P.Nos.8762 & 8765 of 2024

25.03.2024
(1/3)