



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.03.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024

and

Crl.M.P.No.5145 of 2024

K.Anbarasan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
W-21, AWPS – Guindy Police Station,
Chennai – 600 032.
(Crime No.21 of 2021)

2.Bhaghiyalakshmi

.. Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to call for the records and quash the charges in S.C.No.468 of 2023 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram, Chennai, in respect of the petitioner.

For petitioner : Ms.D.Umadevi

For R1 : Mr.A.Damodaran
Additional Public Prosecutor



Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in S.C.No.468 of 2023 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram, Chennai, in respect of the petitioner.

2.The grounds raised by the counsel for the petitioner are all factual in nature and it requires appreciation of evidence and this Court cannot decide the same in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code. The facts of this case and grounds raised the quash petition does not fall within the parameters laid down by the Apex Court in **Bhajan Lal case** reported in **(1992) Suppl (1) SCC 335**. It is left open to the petitioner to raise all the grounds before the Court below and the same shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law. This Court is not inclined to interfere with the proceedings pending before the Court below.

3.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with a direction to the Court below to complete the proceedings in **S.C.No.468**



Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024

WEB COPY

of 2023 on the file of Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram, Chennai, as expeditiously as possible from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The trial shall be conducted on a day to day basis in accordance with the guidelines given by Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in ***Vinod Kumar Vs. State of Punjab, [2015 (1) MLJ (Crl) 288 SC]***. If the petitioner adopts any dilatory tactics, it is open to the trial Court to insist upon the presence of the petitioner and remand him to custody as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH VS. SHAMBHU NATH SINGH, (JT 2001 (4) SC 3191)***. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

26.03.2024

krk

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1.The Sessions Judge,
Magalir Neethimandram,
Chennai.

3/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

krk

2.State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
W-21, AWPS – Guindy Police Station,
Chennai – 600 032.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.

Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7041 of 2024

26.03.2024