



W.P.No.7766 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.03.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

W.P.No.7766 of 2024

Arumugam

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
Collectorate Building
Ranipet District – 632 401.
2. The District Revenue Officer
Ranipet District
Ranipet District – 632 401.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer
RDO Office Building
Ranipattai Revenue Division
Ranipet District – 632 401.
4. The Tahsildar
Walaja Taluk, Ranipet District.
5. The Block Development Officer
Walajapet Panchayat Union
Ranipet District – 632 401.



W.P.No.7766 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. The Vannivedu Village Panchayat
Vannivedu Village, Walaja Taluk
Ranipet District.

7. The Secretary
Veera Saiva Ligayath Kannadiyar Samudayam
Rep. by K.G.Kumar
Anadalai Village and Post
Walaja Taluk, Ranipet District.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 6 to prevent the 7th respondent community people from using the land in S.No.707/1B Vannivedu Village, Walaja Town, Walaja Taluk, Ranipet District and direct the 7th respondent to use the gave yard S.No.261 and S.No.412 Vanivedu Village, Walaja Taluk, Ranipet District.

For the Petitioner : Mr.S.Mannarsamy

For the Respondents : Mr.A.Edwin Prabakar
State Government Pleader
for R1 to R4

Mr.P.Harish
Government Advocate
for R5

ORDER

(Made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard Mr.S.Mannarsamy, learned counsel for the petitioner,
Mr.A.Edwin Prabakar, learned State Government Pleader for
respondents 1 to 4 and Mr.P.Harish, learned Government Advocate for



W.P.No.7766 of 2024

respondent 5.

2. Grievance is made that the land in S.No.707/1B should not be used as a burial ground. No documents are placed on record to show the classification of the said land.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application is given under the Right to Information Act, 2005, but the information is not received.

4. In fact, it is not a public interest litigation. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner is doing business in the said industrial area. He is personally aggrieved. The petition could not have been a public interest litigation. Moreover, there is no authentic information placed on record. The petitioner has approached the authority concerned. The concerned authority shall consider the representation filed by the petitioner on its own merits and in accordance with the law and policy preferably within a period of nine months.

Page 3 of 6



W.P.No.7766 of 2024

5. The writ petition, accordingly, stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(D.B.C., J.)

25.03.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

drm

To

1. The District Collector
Collectorate Building
Ranipet District – 632 401.
2. The District Revenue Officer
Ranipet District
Ranipet District – 632 401.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer
RDO Office Building
Ranipattai Revenue Division
Ranipet District – 632 401.

Page 4 of 6



W.P.No.7766 of 2024

4. The Tahsildar
Walaja Taluk, Ranipet District.
5. The Block Development Officer
Walajapet Panchayat Union
Ranipet District – 632 401.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7766 of 2024

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

(drm)

W.P.No.7766 of 2024

25.03.2024