



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

W.P.Nos. 36303 & 36304 of 2015

and

M.P.Nos.1 & 1 of 2015

W.P.No.36303 of 2015

- 1 The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests
Panagal Maligai
Saidapet, Chennai - 15
- 2 The Conservator of Forests
Dharmapuri Circle,
Dharmapuri
- 3 The District Forest Officer
Interface Forestry Division, Krishnagiri ... Petitioners

Vs

1. Kamala
2. Selvan
3. Santhiya
4. Revathi



WEB COPY

5. The Tribunal Constituted
under the Provisions of the Minimum Wages Act
Salem.

PRAYER in W.P.No.36303 of 2015 : The Writ Petition filed under
Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking to issue a Writ of
Certiorari calling for the records of the 5th respondent in M.W.No. 63 of
2011 dated 05.01.2015 and to quash the same

W.P.No.36304 of 2015

- 1 The principal Chief Conservator of Forests
Panagal Maligai
Saidapet Chennai - 15
- 2 The Conservator of Forests
Dharmapuri Circle,
Dharmapuri
- 3 The District Forest Officer
Interface Forestry Division, Krishnagiri

... Petitioners

Vs

- 1 V. Venkadagiri
- 2 Munikrishnappa
- 3 M. Perumal
- 4 M. Subramani
- 5 The Tribunal Constituted



WEB COPY

under the Provisions of the Minimum Wages Act
Salem.

... Respondents in W.P.No.36304 of 2015

PRAYER in W.P.No.36304 of 2015 : The Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records of the 5th respondent in M.W.No. 64 of 2011 dated 05.01.2015 and to quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Seenivasan, SGP (Forest

For Respondents : No representation

COMMON ORDER

The petitioners have filed the writ petitions challenging the orders of the fifth respondent dated 05.01.2015, wherein, the petitioners were directed to pay wages to the respondents 1 to 4 in accordance with the G.O.(2D) No.82 "Labour and Employment (J2) dated 27.09.2002.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners. No representation for respondents 1 to 4 in both the writ petitions.

3. Since the respective respondents 1 to 4 in both the writ petitions



WEB COPY

are also Plot Watchers, and since the issue with regard to the Plot Watchers has already been settled subsequent to G.O viz., G.O.Ms.No.95, Environment and Forest Department dated 07.08.2009 this Court finds that nothing survives for further adjudication in these writ petitions.

4. In view of the same, the writ petitions are dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also dismissed.

05.09.2024

mfa

To

The Tribunal Constituted
under the Provisions of the Minimum Wages Act
Salem.



WEB COPY



R.N.MANJULA , J

mfa

**W.P.Nos. 36303 & 36304 of 2015
and
M.P.Nos.1 & 1 of 2015**

05.09.2024