



WEB COPY

W.P.No.35794 of



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.11.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

W.P.No.35794 of 2015

K.Kanagaperinbaraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Secretary to Government (Transport),
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 001.

2. The Managing Director,
Metropolitan Transport Corporation Limited,
Pallavan Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

3. The General Manager (Administration),
Metropolitan Transport Corporation Limited,
Pallavan Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

4. The Branch Manager,
Metropolitan Transport Corporation Limited,
Thiruvanmiyur Depot,
Chennai – 600 041.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the records of the 2nd respondent of the impugned order in proceeding dated on 02.11.2005 for the remarks and quash the same and further directing the respondents to calculate and pay the arrears of three years increments and



other benefits followed from 29.06.2005 to till date and the upcoming benefits

For Petitioner	: No appearance
For R1	: Mr.S.Arumugam, Government Advocate
For R2 to R4	: Mr.R.Balaji

ORDER

This matter earlier came up for consideration before this Court on 23.10.2024 and on 13.11.2024. On both the occasions, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption “For Dismissal”.

2. Today, inspite of the matter being listed under the caption “For Dismissal”, there is no representation for the petitioner either in the pre-lunch or post-lunch session. In the circumstances, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss the Writ Petition for non-prosecution.

3. Further, from the perusal of the material on record, it is noticed that, in this writ petition, the petitioner challenging the order of punishment dated 02.11.2005 i.e., after a lapse of about a decade. Absolutely, there is no reason assigned in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition for the delay in filing the writ petition.



WEB COPY

4. In the circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for non-application, as well as on the ground of delay and laches. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

14.11.2024

skr

Index : Yes / No

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1. The Secretary to Government (Transport),
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 001.
2. The Managing Director,
Metropolitan Transport Corporation Limited,
Pallavan Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
3. The General Manager (Administration),
Metropolitan Transport Corporation Limited,
Pallavan Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
4. The Branch Manager,
Metropolitan Transport Corporation Limited,
Thiruvanmiyur Depot,
Chennai – 600 041.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.35794 of





WEB COPY

W.P.No.35794 of



MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

skr

W.P.No.35794 of 2015



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35794 of

14.11.2024