



WEB COPY



S.A.No.1086 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.06.2024

CORAM:

The Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

S.A.No.1086 of 2013

M.Samraj

...Appellant

-Vs-

M.Rangasamy

Rep by power agent J.T.Murugan

...Respondent

Prayer:- Second Appeal is filed under Section 100 of Civil Procedure Code against the judgment and decree dated 01.04.2013 made in A.S.No.44 of 2012 on the file of the learned Principal District Court, Krishnagiri confirming the judgment and decree dated 24.11.2011 made in O.S.No.169 of 2008 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Krishnagiri.

For Petitioners : Mr.Mukund R.Pandiyan
For Respondent : Mr.P.M.Duraisamy

ORDER

The learned Counsel for the Appellant submitted that the subject matter in the Second Appeal has become infructuous. The learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent would submit that the construction put up on the 16 feet pathway was removed as per the order of this Court. Now, the pathway is existing as 16 feet pathway without any obstruction.



S.A.No.1086 of 2013

WEB COPY 2. Recording the same, the Second Appeal is dismissed as
infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

19.06.2024

shl
Index : Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order



WEB COPY



S.A.No.1086 of 2013

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.,

shl

S.A.No.1086 of 2013

19.06.2024