



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.03.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P No.6272 of 2024

Inamul Hasan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri Town, Krishnagiri District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
B1 Krishnagiri Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

...Respondents

PRAYER : Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 1 to 3 from any way interfering with peaceful conduct of petitioner's business at “TN-24 Family and Saloon Spa” First Floor, Commercial buildings North Side, 3rd Grace Road, Cooperative Road, Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District based on the petitioner's representation, dated on 20.02.2024.

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Prakash

For Respondents : Mr.A.Damodaran
Additional Public Prosecutor



ORDER

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the respondents 1 to 3 from any way interfering with peaceful conduct of petitioner's business at “TN-24 Family and Saloon Spa” First Floor, Commercial buildings North Side, 3rd Grace Road, Cooperative Road, Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District based on the petitioner's representation, dated on 20.02.2024.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that she is carrying on business in the name and style of “TN-24 Family and Saloon Spa” First Floor, Commercial buildings North Side, 3rd Grace Road, Cooperative Road, Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District and in his massage parlour, he is giving physiotherapy cum massage, which cures many illness in the body including the nervous disorder. There is no law regulating this kind of business and no licence is required from the respondent police in terms of the Chennai City Police Act.

3. It is the further case of the petitioner that there are qualified and trained therapist available and without any rhyme or reason, the respondents have interfered with his business activities under the guise of conducting raid and hence, the petitioner has come forward with this writ petition.



4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, would submit that no illegal or unlawful activities take place while conducting business activities and therefore, the respondents cannot interfere with the business activities. Learned counsel also draw the attention of this Court to the order dated 28.04.2015 made in W.P.No.12817 of 2015, wherein, this Court has taken into consideration the common order dated 09.12.2014 made in W.P.Nos.24629 of 2014 etc. batch (***Masti Health and Beauty Private Limited Vs. Commissioner of Police, Chennai*** reported in ***2015 1 MLJ 308***) and prays for similar orders.

5. Heard Mr.K.Prakash, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.A.Damodaran, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, who took notice for the respondents.

6. It is relevant to extract paragraph 67 of the order in ***Masti Health and Beauty Private limited*** case (cited supra), which reads as follows:

"67. In the light of the above, all the writ petitions are disposed of to the following effect:

(i) The respondents shall not, as a matter of routine and without any basis, conduct any raids and interfere with the business carried on by the petitioners;

(ii) In specific cases where the police have reasonable grounds to believe that an offence punishable under the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act has been or is being committed, it is open



WEB COPY

to the police to take action, after scrupulously following all the steps indicated in Section 15 of the said Act. The steps to be followed are narrated by me in paragraph 28 above; and

(iii) Based upon the laws enacted in various States of the United States of America and Singapore, which I have dealt with in paragraphs 39 to 54, the respondents may take appropriate steps for bringing in either a new 43 legislation or a subordinate legislation in terms of the provisions of the Chennai City Municipal Corporation Act or the Chennai City Police Act, so that public order, decency and morality, which can form the basis for a regulatory law under Article 19(2) of The Constitution, are taken care of. The Government shall file a report on or before 31.3.2015, before this Court, about the decision taken. No costs. Consequently, all connected pending MPs are closed."

In the light of the said order, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to comply with the directions / conditions imposed in paragraph 67 of the said order as extracted above. It is also made clear that the petitioner under the guise of carrying on business activity shall not indulge in any unlawful or illegal activities detrimental to law and order or public order. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

08.03.2024

Index: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking Order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ssr



WEB COPY

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri Town, Krishnagiri District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
B1 Krishnagiri Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



N.ANAND VENKATESH, J

SSR

W.P No.6272 of 2024

08.03.2024