



WEB COPY

W.P.No.12423 of



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17/12/2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH

W.P.No.12423 of 2022

A. Francis

...

Petitioner

Vs

1. The Union of India
rep. By its Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs
Government of India
New Delhi.
2. The Director General of Police
CRPF, New Delhi.
3. The Inspector General of Police
CRPF, Southern Sector
Road No.10 C, Jubilee Hills
Hyderabad 33.
4. The Additional Director General of Police
O/o. The Special Director General of Police
South Zone, CRPF
Hyderabad, Telangana State.
5. The Deputy Inspector General Police
Range HQR, CRPF
Bangalore 64.



6. The Commandant – 51 BN.CRPF
Lalwas, Jaipur
Rajasthan 13.

...

Respondents

WEB COPY

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified mandamus to call for the records of the respondents, particularly fourth respondent's impugned order dated 17/3/2021 vide Reference No.R-XIII-31/2020-SZ-CRV and quash the same, consequently, direct the respondents to discharge the petitioner's service with all attendant benefits, such as monetary benefits, pensionary benefits and other available benefits for the service rendered on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 31/8/2020.

For Petitioner

...

Mr.R.Thanjan

For Respondents

...

Mr.M.Karthikeyan

- - - - -

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed for quashment of the impugned order dated 17/3/2021 passed by the fourth respondent in R-XIII-31/2020-SZ-CRV and consequently, direct the respondents to discharge the petitioner's service with all attendant benefits, such as monetary benefits, pensionary benefits



and other available benefits for the service rendered on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 31/8/2020.

WEB COPY

2.Heard the learned counsel on either side and also perused the materials available on record.

3.The case of the petitioner herein is similar to that of the dispute which arose in the Civil Appeals in C.A.Nos.1763-1764 of 2022 (*The State of Karnataka and another Vs. Umesh*). In the said Civil Appeals, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has passed the following order:

“17. In the exercise of judicial review, the Court does not act as an appellate forum over the findings of the disciplinary authority. The court does not re-appreciate the evidence on the basis of which the finding of misconduct has been arrived at in the course of a disciplinary enquiry. The Court in the exercise of judicial review must restrict its review to determine whether: (i) the rules of nature justice have been complied with; (ii) the finding of misconduct is based on some evidence; (iii) the statutory rules governing the conduct of the disciplinary enquiry have been observed; and (iv) whether the findings of the disciplinary authority suffer from perversity; and (v) the penalty



is disproportionate to the proven misconduct. However, none of the above tests for attracting the interference of the High Court were attracted in the present case. The Karnataka Administrative Tribunal having exercised the power of judicial review found no reason to interfere with the award of punishment of compulsory retirement. The Division Bench of the High Court exceeded its jurisdiction under Article 226 and trenched upon a domain which falls within the disciplinary jurisdiction of the employer. The enquiry was conducted in accordance with the principles of nature of justice. The findings of the inquiry officer and the disciplinary authority are sustainable with reference to the evidence which was adduced during the enquiry. The acquittal of the respondent in the course of the criminal trial did not imagine upon the authority of the disciplinary authority or the finding of misconduct in the disciplinary proceeding.”

4. The subject matter in issue is also similar to that of the Civil Appeals filed in C.A.Nos.1763-1764 of 2022, in which the aforesaid order has been passed.

5. In the light of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the Civil Appeals as stated supra, this Writ Petition is dismissed. However, the



Competent Authority is directed to give the pending dues to the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

No costs.

17/12/2024

mvs.

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1. The Secretary, Union of India,
Ministry of Home Affairs
Government of India
New Delhi.
2. The Director General of Police
CRPF, New Delhi.
3. The Inspector General of Police
CRPF, Southern Sector
Road No.10 C, Jubilee Hills
Hyderabad 33.
4. The Additional Director General of Police
O/o. The Special Director General of Police
South Zone, CRPF
Hyderabad, Telangana State.
5. The Deputy Inspector General Police
Range HQR, CRPF
Bangalore 64.
6. The Commandant – 51 BN.CRPF
Lalwas, Jaipur
Rajasthan 13.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.12423 of

VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J

mvs.

W.P.No.12423 of 2022

17/12/2024