



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.03.2024

Coram:

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN**

W.P. No.6536 of 2024

Senthil

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police  
District Superintendent Office  
Krishnagiri District

2. The Inspector of Police  
Kaveripattinam Police Station  
Krishnagiri

3. The Tahsildar  
Tahsildar Office, Krishnagiri Taluk  
Krishnagiri District

... Respondents

**Prayer:** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 15.02.2024 to measure the petitioner's land situate at Survey No.407B/2 measuring 2.14 acres of land at Karukansaavadi, Kaveripattinam, Krishnagiri within a stipulated period.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Soundar Vijay Arul Ram

For R1 and R2 : Mr.S.Sugendran  
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R3 : Mr.T.Arun Kumar  
Additional Government Pleader



## **ORDER**

This Writ Petition is filed seeking to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 15.02.2024 to measure the petitioner's land situate at Survey No.407B/2 measuring 2.14 acres of land at Karukansaavadi, Kaveripattinam, Krishnagiri within a stipulated period.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner made an application on 12.09.2023, to measure the land and earmark the boundaries and he had also paid the requisite fee on 08.09.2023 itself. Further, the petitioner made a representation dated 15.02.2023 to the respondents 1 and 2/Police seeking police protection at the time of surveying the land since the adjacent land owners were creating disturbance. However, the same has not been considered. Hence, the present writ Petition is filed.

3. Mr.S.Sugendran, Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice for the respondents 1 and 2. Mr.T.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the 3rd respondent.

4. Since the respondents 1 and 2/Police have been impleaded unnecessarily, the Writ Petition is dismissed insofar as the respondents 1 and 2 are concerned with cost of Rs.10,000/-.



WEB COPY

5. Heard and perused the materials available on record.

6. There is no allegation against the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent/Tahsildar. If at all any private party is trying to interfere with his possession, the petitioner has to approach the Civil Court.

7. Since the dispute raised by the petitioner is civil in nature, the Writ Petition is not maintainable. However, the petitioner is at liberty to work out is remedy in the manner known to law.

8. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed insofar as the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is concerned. No costs.


9. As stated above, the Writ Petition is dismissed insofar as the respondents 1 and 2 are concerned with cost of Rs.10,000/-. The petitioner is directed to pay the cost of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) to the credit of Chief Minister's Relief Fund.

13.03.2024

ksa-2

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No



To

1. The Superintendent of Police  
District Superintendent Office  
Krishnagiri District
2. The Inspector of Police  
Kaveripattinam Police Station  
Krishnagiri
3. The Tahsildar  
Tahsildar Office, Krishnagiri Taluk  
Krishnagiri District

W.P.No.6536 of 20





WEB COPY

W.P.No.6536 of 2024



**P.VELMURUGAN. J.**

Ksa-2

W.P.6536 of 2024

13.03.2024