



Crl.O.P.No.4324 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.4324 of 2024
and Crl.MP.No.7455 of 2024

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 498(A), 494, 406, 417, 294(b), 506(2) of IPC, in Crime No. 1314 of 2022 on the file of respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that the petitioner and his family members were harassing her for dowry and attempting to kill her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated and if the petitioner harassed the defacto complainant and also married one Bhuvaneswari, as second marriage, while the first marriage was in existence. He would further submit that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would also submit that he is ready to comply with any condition imposed by this court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor raised strong objection stating that this petitioner had illegal intimacy with one Bhuvaneswari, and they both got secretly married with the help of his mother and the petitioner's family

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.



Crl.O.P.No.4324 of 2024

WEB COPY

drl
harassing the defacto complainant in the name of dowry, and hence they cheated the defacto complainant.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would raised serious objection stating that the petitioner along with his family members demanded dowry from the defacto complainant and the first petitioner also got married to Bhuvaneswari as a second marriage, while the first marriage was still in existence. He further submit that the father of the defacto complainant filed HCP. He further submit that the photos which were uploaded on you tube show illegal intimacy with another lady. He would further submit that the investigation in this case is still pending.

6. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both the counsels and also on considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

15.07.2024

drl

Crl.O.P.No. 4324 of 2024