



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.04.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

B.Rajeswari

... Petitioner / wife of the detenue

Vs.

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-Puzhal,
Chennai.

4.State rep. by its
The Inspector of Police,
H-3 Tondiarpet Police Station,
Chennai District.

... Respondents



H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

Prayer : Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the entire records, relating to the petitioner's husband detention under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order dated 16.12.2023 on the file of the second respondent herein made in proceedings Memo No.675/BCDFGISSSV/2023, quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's husband namely Balasubramanian, S/o.Gomathi Nayagam, aged 46 years, before this Court and set him at liberty from detention, now the petitioner's husband is detained at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sasikumar

For Respondents : Mr.E. Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.C. Aravind

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

The petitioner herein is the wife of the detenue viz., Balasubramanian, S/o.Gomathi Nayagam, aged 46 years, detained at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 16.12.2023 slapped on her husband, branding him as "Goonda"



H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

contemplated under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the Detaining Authority has not applied its mind while expressing its subjective satisfaction that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail. It is his submission that the offences charged as against the accused therein are different compared to the case on hand.

4. On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that the offences mentioned in CrI.M.P.No.5203 of 2023, dated 03.11.2023 is not similar to the case on hand. Considering the nature of the bail order in the similar case relied upon by the Detaining Authority and the case on hand, this Court finds that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority



that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail, suffers from non-application of mind.

5. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***'Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and Another'*** reported in ***'2011 [5] SCC 244'***, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraphs 10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

“10. In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail,



because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained.”

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

7. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent in 675/BCDFGISSSV/2023, dated 16.12.2023, is hereby set



H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz.,
Balasubramanian, S/o.Gomathi Nayagam, aged 46 years, detained at
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith
unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [S.M., J]

17.04.2024

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Anu

To

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-Puzhal,
Chennai.



H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

4.The Inspector of Police,
H-3 Tondiarpet Police Station,
Chennai District.

5.The Joint Secretary,
Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.

6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Anu

H.C.P.No.372 of 2024

17.04.2024