



WEB COPY



W.P.No.3676 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.06.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

W.P.No.3676 of 2024

N.T.Stalin Barathi

.. Petitioner

v.

1. The Registrar

Tamil Nadu State Human Rights Commission
Greenways Road
Chennai 600 028

2. The District Collector

O/o District Collector
Thiruvavarur

3. The District Superintendent of Police

O/o District Superintendent of Police
Thiruvavarur District, Thiruvavarur


4. Thiru.Aswath Ando Arokiya Raj

5. Thiru.Sivaprakasam

6. Thiru.Santhosh Kumar

.. Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to



For Petitioner	::	Mr.R.Sankarasubbu for M/s D.Bharathy
For Respondents	::	Mr.N.Subramanian for R1 Mr.R.Vigneswaran Government Advocate for R2 Mr.R.Muniyapparaj Additional Public Prosecutor for R3

(Order of the Court was made by S.S.SUNDAR,J.)

2. Admittedly, the petitioner submitted a representation to the third respondent seeking Personal Security to himself and the third respondent has rejected his representation by order dated 21.11.2023. The petitioner has



W.P.No.3676 of 2024

also challenged the order rejecting his representation for PSO protection in a writ petition in W.P.No.1582 of 2024. The petitioner has now filed a complaint before the State Human Rights Commission as if the order rejecting the representation of the petitioner to provide PSO protection to himself violates human rights.

3. In our considered opinion, the petitioner's request to provide PSO protection will have to be considered only on merits and it is not by a mere asking, the respondents should oblige. Even if the representation is dismissed or rejected by the respondent police for reasons, it is always open to the petitioner to challenge the same, which he has done. Since the rejection of his representation does not violate the petitioner's human rights, the complaint before the first respondent itself is not maintainable. In view of the same, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs.

Index : yes/no
Neutral citation : yes/no
ss
To

(S.S.S.R.,J.) (N.S.,J.)
20.06.2024



W.P.No.3676 of 2024

WEB COPY

1. The Registrar

Tamil Nadu State Human Rights Commission
Greenways Road
Chennai 600 028

2. The District Collector

O/o District Collector
Thiruvarur

3. The District Superintendent of Police

O/o District Superintendent of Police
Thiruvarur District, Thiruvarur



WEB COPY



W.P.No.3676 of 2024

S.S.SUNDAR,J.

AND

N.SENTHILKUMAR,J.

SS

W.P.No.3676 of 2024

20.06.2024