



WEB COPY



HCP.No.271 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.04.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.271 of 2024

Kalaiselvi

... Petitioner/daughter of the detenu

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department,
Fort St. George, Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate of Krishnagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.



HCP.No.271 of 2024

5.The Inspector of Police,
Kaveripattinam Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent dated 28.12.2023 in his office ref S.C.No.29/2023 against the petitioner's mother by name Umarani, D/o.Krishnan, aged about 55 years, now confined at Special Prison for women, Coimbatore, Coimbatore District and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the above said detenu before this Court and set her at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.C. Aravind

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

The petitioner herein is the daughter of the detenu viz., Umarani, D/o.Krishnan, aged about 55 years, confined at Special Prison for women, Coimbatore, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention



HCP.No.271 of 2024

WEB COPY

order passed by the second respondent dated 28.12.2023 slapped on her mother, branding her as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 14.10.2023 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 28.12.2023. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.



HCP.No.271 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. In the case of '**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura**', reported in '**2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813**', when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each



HCP.No.271 of 2024

WEB COPY

case.”

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***', reported in '***(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428***', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent



HCP.No.271 of 2024

WEB COPY

on 28.12.2023 in S.C.No.29/2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Umarani, D/o.Krishnan, aged about 55 years, now confined at Special Prison for women, Coimbatore, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [S.M., J]
29.04.2024

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Anu

To

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department,
Fort St. George, Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate of Krishnagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.



HCP.No.271 of 2024

WEB COPY

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Kaveripattinam Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

6.The Joint Secretary,
Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai

7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.271 of 2024

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Anu

H.C.P.No.271 of 2024

29.04.2024