



Today, the matter is listed under the caption “for being mentioned”.

2.Earlier, the petitioners filed Crl.O.P.No.2985 of 2024 seeking anticipatory bail. The said petition was allowed *vide* order dated 13.02.2024. While so, the petitioners filed Crl.M.P.No.3688 of 2024 seeking two prayers. The first prayer was to amend the address of the respondent police as “Inspector of Police, Salem Railway Police Station, Salem” instead of “The State represented by, Inspector of Police, Chennai Central Railway Police Station, Chennai Railways”. The second prayer was to amend the satisfaction Court as “Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Salem” instead of “XVI Metropolitan Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai”.

3.However, when Crl.M.P.No.3688 of 2024 was allowed vide order 12.03.2024, unfortunately, the petitioners' prayer in respect of amendment of satisfaction Court alone was typed and the petitioner's other prayer namely, amendment of respondent police was omitted to be typed.



WEB COPY



C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.

smv

4.This Court perused the prayer portion in CrI.M.P.No3688 of 2024.
The amendment sought in respect of respondent police also stands ordered.

5.The Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendment in the petition seeking anticipatory bail in CrI.O.P.No.2985 of 2024 and issue fresh order copy in order dated 13.02.2024.

6.Since amendment had been ordered today, time is extended till 30.04.2024 for executing sureties and for complying the other conditions imposed on the petitioner.

15.04.2024

smv

CrI.O.P.No.2985 of 2024