



WEB COPY

W.P.No.2828 of 2020



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.03.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

W.P.No.2828 of 2020

M.Munisekar

...

Petitioner

versus

1.The Commissioner of Land Administration,
Ezhilagaam, Chepauk,
Chennai - 600 005.

2.The District Collector,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Thiruthani Range,
Thiruthani, Tiruvallur District.

4.P.N.Sathish
Village Administrative Officer,
Kilambakkam Village,
Tiruvallur Taluk & District.

...

Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to take departmental action and suspend the 4th respondent based on the petitioner's representation dated 21.10.2019.

For Petitioner

:

Mr.R.S.Manoj Kumar



WEB COPY

W.P.No.2828 of 2017



For Respondent Nos.1 to 3 : Mr.S.Arumugam
Government Advocate
For Respondent No.4 : No Appearance

ORDER

Heard Mr.R.S.Manoj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.S.Arumugam, learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 to 3 and perused the materials available on record.

2. There is no representation for the 4th respondent either in-person or through his counsel.

3. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to take departmental action against the 4th respondent by placing him under suspension.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that the 4th respondent was working as a Village Administrative Officer of Veeraragavapuram Village at Thirutani Taluk, Thiruvarur District. Due to the illegal activities of the 4th respondent, the petitioner and other villagers have been affected. Hence, the petitioner has made several complaints against the 4th respondent to take



action for his illegal activities. But so far no action has been taken by the respondents 1 to 3. Aggrieved over the same, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition.

5. So far as the contract of employment between the respondents 1 to 3 and the 4th respondent is concerned, the petitioner is a third party and he is not a privy to the contract of employment. It is seen from the records that Domestic Violence cases are pending against the 4th respondent. However, the petitioner claims that all those cases have already been disposed. For every disgruntlement against a Government Official, an employer cannot be directed to initiate disciplinary action. It is within the discretion of the controlling authority to initiate any such action if he deems it fit. Hence, this Writ Petition is not maintainable and the same is **dismissed** accordingly. No costs.

05.03.2024

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

sri

3/5



To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Commissioner of Land Administration,
Ezhilagaam, Chepauk,
Chennai - 600 005.
- 2.The District Collector,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Thiruthani Range,
Thiruthani, Tiruvallur District.
- 4.P.N.Sathish
Village Administrative Officer,
Kilambakkam Village,
Tiruvallur Taluk & District.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.2828 of 2020



R.N.MANJULA, J.

sri

W.P.No.2828 of 2020

05.03.2024