



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 26.04.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

Iyappan @ Aali

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary to the Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai 600 009

2.District Collector and District Magistrate of
Vellore District, Vellore - 9

3.The Superintendent of Police
Vellore District, Vellore - 9

4.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Vellore

5.The Inspector of Police
Vellore North Crime Police Station
Vellore District

... Respondents



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent dated 26.12.2023 in C3.D.O.No.122/2023 petitioner / detenu Iyyappan @ Aali, Male aged 20 years, S/o.Balaji, who is confined at Central Prison, Vellore and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before the Court and set him at liberty.

For petitioner : Mr.D.Balaji

For Respondents : Mr.E. Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor
Assisted by Aravind.C

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

The petitioner herein, is the detenu namely Iyappan @ Aali, aged about 20 years, S/o.Balaji, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 26.12.2023 slapped on him, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders,



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the Detaining Authority has not applied its mind while expressing its subjective satisfaction that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail. It is his submission that the case relied upon by the Detaining Authority is not similar to the present case, as the bail was granted in favour of the accused therein by recording the fact that there was one previous case as against the accused therein.

4. On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that the bail order relied upon by the Detaining Authority in CrI.M.P.No.11555 of 2023 is not similar to the case on hand, since the accused therein was granted bail after



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

recording the fact that there is no previous case was reported against the accused therein. However, it is admitted that there are four adverse cases as against the detenu herein. Considering the nature of the bail order in the similar case relied upon by the Detaining Authority and the case on hand, this Court finds that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail, suffers from non-application of mind.

5. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***'Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and another'*** reported in ***'2011 [5] SCC 244'***, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraph Nos.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

“10. In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner; then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained.”



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

7. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent in C3/D.O.No.122/2023 dated 26.12.2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The petitioner /detenu viz., Iyappan @ Aali, aged about 20 years, S/o.Balaji, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J]

[S.M., J]

26.04.2024

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

kas

To

1.The Secretary to the Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai 600 009

6/8



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

2.District Collector and District Magistrate of
Vellore District, Vellore - 9

3.The Superintendent of Police
Vellore District, Vellore - 9

4.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Vellore

5.The Inspector of Police
Vellore North Crime Police Station
Vellore District

6.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras
Chennai 600 104

7. The Joint Secretary
Law and Order Department
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

kas

H.C.P.No.209 of 2024

26.04.2024